

METRO 1313 – HEAD OF THE BEAST; in three chapters

By David A. Newby and “Grandma Herman”

Chapter 1

This article is the one that is going to give the money interests and government at all levels the greatest case of heartburn imaginable. I do not make this claim lightly as this article will evidence.

We have all been wrapped in a web of such massive deceit that it has been virtually impossible to see the complete picture. Many authors have gotten pieces of the puzzle over the years and I must commend their work and effort. Had it not been for the gathering of all these pieces it would have been impossible to put the puzzle together. I will do my utmost to paint the full picture for you in this article.

To start one must go back to June 7, 1629 and the *Charter of Freedoms and Exemptions to Patroons*. In the synopsis in Documents of American History it states in part: "Under this charter a few Patroons secured control of most of the land along the Hudson River, some of the land grants, such as those to Killian Van Rensseler, were enormous."

Article VI of this charter states, "They (the Patroons) shall forever possess and enjoy all lands lying within the aforesaid limits..." One of the possessions the Patroons were granted to "forever possess" is Manhattan Island, the financial center of the world. The Patroons charter was granted by the "Company." It is this author's assertion that the "Company" was the Dutch East India Company, which is now known as BEIC, or the British East India Company. Remember it is the Dutch Robbels Bank of the Netherlands that holds the receivership of the United States Bankruptcy and it is also this banking entity that recently purchased the failed Baring's Bank of London. By right of this charter granted by the Dutch government the inheritors of the Patroons hold possession of the highest priced piece of real estate in the world. All of these historical threads tie together into the present.

I happened upon a book entitled *Terrible 1313 Revisited* written by, Jo Hindman published in 1963, This book put everything into clear focus and I will try to make sense to you about what it revealed. As the book states, "In the beginning was the National Municipal League in New York (founded in 1894). In the 1930's, part of the organization pinched off to colonize Chicago. The headquarters of the latter group at 1313 E. 60th Street, a four-story building on the University of Chicago Campus became the Metro capitol, known around the globe Cable address is PASHQ and nicknamed 1313 by, Metro devotees themselves.

This municipal organization known as "Metro" was and is funded by a Rockefeller Spelman Grant. Ahh, see how the money powers are all. right there?

Continuing from Hindman's book:

"Of the twenty-two core organizations (now twenty-three following acceptance of BOCA, Building Officials Conference of America), two co-leaders -Council of State Governments and Public Administration Service -steer the collective cluster. Broadly speaking, CSG controls the political and judicial divisions of 1313; PAS promotes economic Metro.

CSG claims secretariatship of the following groups:

- Governors Conference
- Conference of Chief Justices
- National Legislative Conference
- National Association of Attorneys-General
- National Association of State Budget Officers
- National Association of State Purchasing Officers

Many others since this date in 1963 have more than likely been created.

Now I feel it appropriate to share just what Metro is, Quoting from the book:

“The giant combine that conspires against you proceeds virtually unnoticed, while American attention is riveted in Communism in Cuba and abroad. Metro policy = collectivization; Metro program = "Metro" government.

Under Metro, states would cease. Cities would become enormous satellites ruled by managerial Metro power. Zone space between cities would be strictly regimented according to arbitrary code.

It continues, "...infiltrants of Metro into traditional check-and-balance Federal government (and state government) have and are engineering conversion of the American Republic into a giant collectivized unit."

And further:

"Gigantic expansion of irresponsible executive-staff administrative department of government is a key hashmark of Metro government."

Down on the first-level Metro, dictatorial City managers introduce Metro programs of socialized urban renewal land use zoning, and Planning with a capital *P*. City managers agitate for city charter revision to elevate to supremacy Metro managerial rule and to eliminate citizen self-government."

The last segments should serve to pull many things into focus for even the novice; to those who have tried to build room additions onto their houses, or expand their businesses and run square into the county or city zoning board. You see big business is given rights to build malls and subdivisions on county government granted land and bond issues, yet the average citizen is precluded from using his own land as he sees fit. The reason you can't use the land you "own" is because you don't own it! When the Federal United States corporate government went bankrupt in 1933 (for about the third or fourth time) FDR made the American people believe that the *nation* was bankrupt, not just the government corporation, which was all that the bankruptcy really entailed.

The Federal Reserve bank controls Wall Street. Through the Panics of 1894 and 1907 the Bankers and foreign money interests had put the majority of their competition out of business and set the stage for the introduction of the Federal Reserve Act which was passed in 1913. Twenty years later the bankers pulled the plug on Wall Street, just as they are about to do again, and collapsed the Federal Government. Not the nation, mind you, the United States corporation, which is incorporated in Maryland!

By ruse and deception FDR, who was infinitely tied in with the Federal Reserve in New York, worked in collusion with them to declare the National Emergency which is still in place today. By lying to the American people, who were stunned by the market crash in 1929 and the resultant hard times, FDR was able to convince the people that the "nation" was bankrupt. With this false belief the theft started.

In the old days before FDR ran this greatest of scams all the records of deeds and property used to be filed in every state in what was known as The Great Register. It was during the Roosevelt years that the Great Registers started to disappear from the keeping places in the State. Under the "Emergency" and the bankruptcy, the land of property holding citizens was claimed as collateral. All the Deeds and Titles were taken. Nowadays you either get a Certificate of Deed, Warranty deed, or Deed in Trust document when you get your property paid off. This is because you don't have true title to your land or your home. The government holds all your property in trust because you have been deemed "incompetent" under their law to manage your own affairs.

When you buy a new car the MSO, which is technically the Bill of Sale from the manufacturer, is sent to the State and the State issues you a Certificate of Title. The Certificate serves the same purpose for your cars as it does for your real estate. People, you own nothing of major value that you think you do. Even you and your labor are nothing but collateral to the bogus bankruptcy. When did you stop being "personnel" and become a "human resource?" Folks, you don't even own the skin you live in! You are economic slaves, in the absolute truest sense of the word, to the Federal reserve and the International Bankers, and Metro.

The Spotlight has done some reporting on the Conference of States. The COS, as it is known, is also manipulated by Metro. The objective of the COS was to try and alter the Fifth Article of the Constitution which is the section that governs the Amendment process of our government. The attempt was to be made to try and make the Amendment process easier so they could amend the Constitution out of existence.

The Constitution of the New States of America has already been written and lays waiting in the wings, paid for to the tune of \$20,000,000 of your tax dollars and Rockefeller/Metro funding. Through research it has been revealed that at least 30 states no longer have their borders defined in their state Constitutions. With these border specifications gone the sovereign states cease to exist. This falls right into the designs laid out by Metro States when they signed and agreed to the State Compact Act. When this occurred the states became nothing more than corporate subdivisions of the Municipal Corporation of the

District of Columbia! The Metro plan for Municipal government is in full swing and practice. The question is whether the legislation for the State Compact Act was initiated on the Federal level or on the State level by the Governors' Conference controlled by Metro. Either way, it happened.

They used Miami/Dade county as their pilot program. The Miami area is commonly known as "Metro-Dade". How about Metro-Los Angeles, Metro-Kansas City, Metro-Houston, etc.? Is the light starting to come on? Home Rule on the county and city level is nothing but the implementation of Metro's designs.

Metro seeks government by "executive staff administrative departments." We are there folks. I know from first hand knowledge that there is no judicial branch of government in Florida since the alteration of Florida's Constitution in 1968. In the S.S. Supreme Court case of Waller vs Florida the Supreme Court ruled that the "State of Florida has its own courts." The State of Florida is a corporate subdivision of the Municipal corporation of the District of Columbia. Every "State of" government is the same way. Metro's plan is working perfectly.

The executive branch of government governs all "administrative" departments. When the Florida Constitution was altered every court in the state, up to and including the State Supreme Court, was put directly under the Executive branch of government, i.e., the Governor. This means that every state court that a person appears in is nothing but an administrative tribunal operating under Admiralty/Administrative law and is not a judicial court at all but an Executive court with no authority whatsoever except through the custom and belief of the people that they are judicial courts.

These courts are governed by "private" or "non-positive" law. Every judge on the bench is aware of this and is guilty of fraud and treason.

This executive control of a perceived judicial system is exactly from the works of Metro. Notice that Metro controls the Conference of Chief Justices and the National Association of Attorneys General. Is the picture becoming clear yet?

The PAS, as stated, controls the financing aspect of Metro's municipal designs. The following is a list of PAS governed agencies:

- American Public Works Association
- American Public Welfare Association
- Public Personnel Association
- American Municipal Association
- International City Managers' Association
- Municipal Finance Officers' Association
- National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials
- National Association of Assessing Officers
- American Society of Planning Officials
- Federation of Tax Administrators
- American Society of Public Administrators
- National Institute of Municipal Clerks
- Committee for International Municipal Corporations; U.S.A.
- Building Officials' Conference of America

The list goes on but I presume that you get the feel for the web woven around this nation. Hindman's book states in reference to the American Municipal Association: "This powerful agency sponsors the collection and transmittal of money from the United States to Communist linked Metro agencies abroad..."

Further linkage is effected through OAS, (Organization of American States) which I recently, in 1962, went through the motions of ousting Communist Cuba from the OAS fold. Metro's ultimate purpose of regionalization in the Western Hemisphere is promoted by the OAS. According to the charter of the OAS, "Within the United Nations, the Organization of American States is a regional agency." So here we have the icing on the cake, an absolute tie-in between the Metro organizations and the United Nations.

The map supplied in Operation Vampire Killer 2000 illustrates the regionalization of the united States of America into ten FEMA regions. Each day it seems you hear more about regional decisions rather than state decisions. This is more of the incorporation of mind controlled acceptance to terms by usage; Regionalization, multi-culturalism, political correctness, etc. We are being sold a bill of goods folks and the majority of the people in this nation haven't a clue.

And now we get to the real meat of the matter and tie all the threads together. The following tells you about the Governors' Conference.

"This Metro confraternity serves up two illustrations: (1) Metro's false public relations strategy that showers Metro with the glory of distinguished names and places (2) Metro's basic principle to expand executive power at the expense of legislative (citizen-through-representatives) power.

In the first place, governors do not "join" the 1313 Governors' Conference. They "become" members simply because they are state governors - "ex officio" is the word. As a result, frequent differences and quarrels arise in the Metro Governors' Conference, when responsible governors, found to be not in accord with 1313 goals, find their objections throttled and boycotted. The silencing of non-conforming governors is accomplished through the machinations of the Governors' Conference secretariat, the Council of State Government, but the luster of their gubernatorial names is used to build false prestige for Metro 1313.

The beginnings of 1313's Governors' Conference are by no means as honorable as Metro claims. Metro alleges that the present Governors' Conference grew out of a meeting set in 1908 with President Theodore Roosevelt. The President had "Conservation of National Resources" in mind as a conference topic; Metro bellwethers had "Uniform Laws" in mind. The conflict between the two purposes produced a sterile 1908 meeting which was the first and last of its kind." (*Now you see the Governors' Conference aired and publicized every year on C-Span and reported in the news. Remember, this was written 32 years ago.*)

"An historian of the era, William G. Jordan, pointed out that there was widespread feeling that the "problem" of unifying all law's in the United States could not proceed under official U.S. government auspices, but should be steered by a group of governors working independently.

In 1910 another meeting of governors, called by Metro leaders laid the groundwork for unifying all the laws in the United States. The vehicle was to be the group "House of Governors," a secret fourth branch of American government. From the viewpoint of executive vs. legislative (citizen-through-representatives) power, compare the HOG concept of Metro with the constitutional United States House of Representatives. The Metro group embodies executive power supreme, whereas the Congress, traditionally, has been the outlet for citizens' power over their government."

Points need to be made here. The agenda of setting Uniform Laws is highly relevant. If you go to the introduction of the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) you will find that it was designed by the "governors" but never designates which governors they are. It should be indicative from this previous revelation that the undefined "governors" who created the UCC are/is the Metro controlled Governors' Conference. The UCC is the only thing that governs all commercial transactions and for all intents and purposes is not law. It has never been codified either by state or federal government. It is the Code that binds a commercial transaction on an International basis. Although created by this Governors' Conference it has global impact. With the International Bankers behind Metro it should be obvious why they should want their own law called the UCC to manage their own affairs and yours through commercial contracting. They are a law unto themselves!

Now, my dear readers, are you ready for the real heavy stuff? As evidenced by the names Metro gives its organizations such as "National such-and, ..such" I have some real revealing to do. Title 22 United States Code -FOREIGN RELATIONS AND INTERCOURSE is where you find some real dirt on the International Monetary Fund (the Fund) and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the Bank). All of the following is set out in accordance in the Final Act of the United Nations Monetary and Financial Conference dated July 22, 1944. Quoting from Title 22 U.S.C. § 286b. National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Problems (*see, there's that title "National" again*):

"(a) Establishment and composition

In order to coordinate policies and operations of the representatives of the United States on the Fund and the Bank and of all agencies of Government which make or participate in making foreign loans or which engage in financial, exchange or monetary transactions, there is hereby established the National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Problems, (hereinafter referred to as the "Council"), consisting of the Secretary of the Treasury, as Chairman, the Secretary of state, the Secretary of Commerce, the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the President of the Export/Import Bank of the United States, and during such period as the Foreign Operations Administration shall continue to exist, the Director of the Foreign Operations Administration." [emphasis mine]

Here is the establishment of another "National Council." Remember that the *American Municipal*

Association of Metro deals with the "collection and transmittal of money from the United States." As such that association, or whatever it may be renamed today, is governed by the IMF as well. The following will serve to illustrate the "Council's" power. Remember, this is directly out of the U.S.C.

Sec. 286(b)(3) The Council shall coordinate, by consultation or otherwise, so far as practicable, the policies and operations of the representatives of the United States on the Fund and the Bank, the Export-Import Bank of the United States and all other agencies of the Government to the extent that they participate in the making of foreign loans or engage in foreign financial, exchange or monetary transactions. [emphasis mine.]

This is clear evidence that Metro, through the "Council" controls any and all aspects of all agencies of Government that deal with foreign exchange or monetary issues.

§ 286(b)(4) Whenever, under the Articles of Agreement of the Fund or the Articles of Agreement of the Bank, the approval, consent or agreement of the United States is required before an act may be done by the respective institutions, the decision as to whether such approval, consent, or agreement, shall be given or refused shall...be made by the Council under the general direction of the President. [emphasis mine]

So you see we have an administrative board, over which the President sits, as the head, controlling, approving or disapproving the United States say in financial matters.

No governor, executive director, or alternate representing the United States, shall vote in favor of any waiver of condition under Article V, section 4, or in favor of any declaration of the United States dollar as a scarce currency under Article VII, section 3, of the Articles of Agreement of the Fund, without prior approval of the Council.

There you have it fiends. That is the shadow government that you've heard so much about but have been unable to find. The Metro organization is the financial head of the octopus that works in collusion with the United Nations, the RIIA, the CFR, the Bilderberg Group, the Committee of 300, City of London and on and on. The elusive "Governors" so liberally mentioned in the UCC and the IMP are the governors of the fifty States, under the direction and control of Metro's Governors' Conference. In other words, Metro!

The government of this nation at all levels is held captive and in terror of these organizations. But there is one more aspect that must be looked at to put it all together once and for all. The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion have been called a forgery since their coming into public purview in 1905. The following are some excerpts. You decide what you think, after reading what has been presented here.

PROTOCOL NO.5

What form of *administrative rule* can be given to communities in which corruption has penetrated everywhere, communities where riches are attained only by clever surprise tactics of semi-swindling tricks; where looseness reigns; where morality is maintained by penal measures and harsh laws but not by voluntarily accepted principles; where the feelings toward faith and country are obliterated by cosmopolitan convictions? What form of rule is to be given to these if not that of *despotism* which I shall describe to you later? ...Moreover, the art of directing masses and individuals by means of cleverly manipulated theory and verbiage, by regulations of life in common and all sorts of other quirks, in all which the goyim understand nothing, belongs likewise to the specialists of our **administrative brain**. [emphasis mine]

PROTOCOL NO.8

We must arm ourselves with all the weapons which our opponents might employ against us. We must search out the very finest shades of expression and the knotty points of the lexicon of law justification for those cases where we shall have to pronounce judgments that might appear abnormally audacious and unjust, for it is important that these resolutions should be set forth in expressions that shall seem to be *the most exalted moral principles cast into legal form*. [Try the exalted principle of disarming a nation with the Brady Bill or, the theft of a nation with the Federal Reserve Act.]

PROTOCOL NO.9

We have got our hands into the *administration of the law, into the conduct of elections, into the press, into liberty of the person*, but principally into education and training as being the cornerstone of free existence. ...Above the existing laws without substantially altering them, and by merely twisting them into

contradictions of interpretations, we have erected something grandiose in the way of results. These results found expression first in the fact that *the interpretations masked the laws; afterwards they entirely hid them from the eyes of the governments owing to the impossibility of making anything out of the web of legislation.* [emphasis mine]

Does any of this make you feel uncomfortable yet? Do you still feel the Protocols are only a forgery? Look around you America!

PROTOCOL NO. 15

Under our influence the execution of the laws of the goyim has been reduced to a minimum. The prestige of the law has been exploded by the liberal interpretations introduced into this sphere. In the most important and fundamental affairs and questions judges decide as we dictate to them, see matters in light wherewith we enfold them for the administration of the goyim, *of course, through persons who are our tools* though we do not appear to have anything in common with them. ...In these days the judges of the goyim create indulgences to every kind of crime, not having an understanding of their office, because the rulers of the present age in appointing Judges to office take no care to inculcate in them a sense of duty and consciousness of the matter which is demanded of them. [emphasis mine]

PROTOCOL NO. 17

The practice of advocacy produces men cold, cruel, persistent, unprincipled, who in all cases, take up an impersonal purely legal standpoint. They have the inveterate habit to refer everything to its value for the defense, not to the public welfare of its results. They do not decline to undertake any, defense whatsoever, they strive for acquittal at all costs, caviling over every petty crux of jurisprudence and thereby demoralize justice. For this reason we shall set this profession into narrow frames which will keep it inside this sphere of *executive* public service. Advocates, equally with judges, will be deprived of the right of communication with litigants; they will receive business only from the court and will study it by notes off reports and documents, defending their clients after they have been interrogated in court on facts that have appeared. [emphasis mine]

I'd say we're there America, inasmuch as the legal system is concerned. The foregoing partial cites from the Protocols are only the barest smidgen of the plan for global domination. This is the plan of the Khazarian Ashkenazi Elite and their henchmen. The Khazarians, who are only Jewish by conversion of faith some 1,250 years ago, use the name of the Jews to hide their evil deeds. Many prominent Rabbis have denounced Zionism, once known as British-Israel, as the political tool that it is.

The Khazarian Elite have co-opted most all of the governments of Europe and have taken over America through their agents provocateur and their bought or terrorized whores in government. You will find certain names and families running all through this conspiracy toward world government, Rockefeller, Morgan, Vanderbilt, and many, many others. Most people are not aware of the fact that the name Rockefeller is the Americanization of the name Roggenfeld, a direct "Jewish" lineage from Turkey, i.e. Khazarian.

The ADL of Bnai Brith is nothing but the protection arm of British-Israel. The Jewish Defense League, whose base is at 1500 Lakeshore Drive in *Chicago*, is the terrorist enforcement arm of Zionism. The ADL has been caught spying on American citizens but there has been no prosecution of this seditious act to date. The ADL hides behind its false "Jewishness" and declares anyone an antiSemite who gets too close to the truth.

The ADL is known by law enforcement agencies to have taken part in terrorist acts, such as bombings which have resulted in death. This used to be called murder! It is the ADL that are the "definitive experts" on *domestic terrorists*, (otherwise known as Americans who support the 2nd Amendment and the Constitution) who are continually quoted in the papers and on TV.

It is the Khazarian "Jews," Charles Schumer, Barbara Boxer, Diane Feinstein, Robert Rubin, Robert Reich, Alan Greenspan, and a multitude of others, who hold your government in their "Administrative" terror. This shadow government, controlled through Metro, built on the designs of the Protocols, is what rules your nation, America. It is ruled through terrorism, injustice, financial tyranny and a control that leaves your Congress as nothing more than comic relief, to be fed to you, the people.

The League of Women Voters is an arm of Metro. Through the book *Votescam* it was revealed that

the L WV was complicit in fraudulent manipulation of voter ballots. This falls right in with Protocol No.9.

President Clinton sits on the Governors' Conference. Through the control by Executive Order we have had dictators, all under control of Metro, since the days of Roosevelt.

The courts are executive tribunals run by administrative law. The Federal Administrative Procedures Act was passed in 1946. Every state has also passed some form of Administrative Procedures Act. The Khazarian Zionist agenda prides itself on their "administrative brains," as denoted in Protocol No.5. We now have virtually ever department either governed by Administrative Agencies or under their indirect control. Power has been gathered into the hands of the new King, the President of the United States, who takes his marching orders from Metro.

Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands sits with the Bilderberg Group. This is the Dutch power that granted the perpetual title to Manhattan Island and most of the land on the Hudson River to the Patroons. The web of the Khazarian elite and their "royal" allies reaches to the crown of England and ultimately into Metro.

The world has been financially conquered in a war that was never declared, and few knew anything about. There are those, I'm sure, that will call me anti-Semitic because I dare to tell the truth. *This is not a "Jewish" plot to take over the world. It is a Khazarian plot.* Khazarians by their own admission are the sons of Gomer and Ashkenaz not Abraham! You people better wake up to the reality of your dire circumstances, not the perceived illusion put forth by the Khazarian elite and their allies and lackeys. This is truth. This is real. Are you strong enough to finally face up to how bad you have been lied to all your life?

This web of deceit and thievery has virtually destroyed this nation while we all slept. The Metro was uncovered in the, 1950's and they scrambled like cockroaches from the light shed on them. It has been at least 32 years since that light has been turned on, and folks, and I just flipped the switch again. Spread the truth! It's the one thing the enemy cannot combat!

Sources:

The Records of America -Adams & Vannest (1935) Documents of American History -Tenth Edition

Terrible 1313 Revisited -Jo Hindman

Protocols of Zion -Translated by Marsden

The Thirteenth Tribe -Arthur Koestler

The Contact Newspaper

Chapter 2

Part I gave what I consider a massive overview of the Metro organization located at 1313 East 60th Street in Chicago. This article will get into more particulars.

I reported about the National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Problems, which I assert was a Metro formed organization. It appears that I jumped the gun a bit on that particular issue, as that particular Council was abolished and its functions transferred to the President of the United States through Reorganization Plan No.4 of 1965.

Through Executive Orders No 11269, 11334, 11808, 11977, 12164, 12188, 12403 and 12647 (Aug. 2, 1988) a new Council was created called the National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Financial Policies. The following relevant sections should bring to light more of what the Council does and the power it holds. What was reported in the last article was not so much incorrect as it was incomplete.

Sec. 2. Functions of the Council

(a) Exclusive of the functions delegated by the provisions of Section 3 below, and subject to the limitations contained in subsection (b) of this Section, all of the functions which are now vested in the President, in consequence of their transfer to -him effected by the provisions of Section 1(b) of Reorganization Plan No.4 of 1965, are hereby delegated to the Council.

This is a prime example of the modus operandi of how this shell game is conducted. All of the aforementioned Executive Orders were printed in the Federal Register. Once printed in the Federal Register, if not challenged within 30 days, it becomes law. The names of these "Councils" change, but their functions are ever increasing. This Council absolutely dictates the conditions of foreign aid as exhibited below. Subsection (b) states in part;

The functions so delegated shall be deemed to include the authority to review proposed individual loan, financial, exchange or monetary transactions to the extent necessary or desirable to effectuate the coordination of policies.

This section is the authority to govern and manage all Foreign Aid programs. Section 3 goes into more detail.

Sec. 3. Functions of the Secretary of the Treasury

(a) Functions which are now vested in the President in consequence of their transfer to him effected by the provisions of Section 1 (b) of Reorganization Plan No.4 of 1965 are hereby delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury to the extent of the following:

(1) Authority, subject to the provisions of Section 7 of this Order, to instruct representatives of the United States to international financial organizations.

(2) Authority provided for in Section 4(b)(4) of the Bretton Woods Agreements Act (22 U.S.C. 286b (b)(4)), such authority, insofar as it relates to the development aspects of the policies, programs, or projects of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, shall be exercised subject to the provisions of Section 7 of this order.

(b) In carrying out the functions delegated to him by subsection (a) of this Section, the Secretary shall consult with the Council.

It should be evident that the power of the President was delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury, who ultimately must "consult with the Council." Section 3 (1) states that the Secretary shall "instruct the representatives of the United States to international financial institutions." Here you see how the Secretary of the Treasury, who takes his marching orders from the Council made up of the Governors of the fifty states and controlled by Metro, "instructs" U.S. appointees to international financial institutions in matters of international finance, i.e. Foreign Aid.

The Council, i.e. the Governors' Conference (a Metro creation) dictates to your government what it will and will not do in matters of international finance. You will also note that the Council also dictates in matters of international "exchange." In other words, the Council sets policy for international currency exchange rates for the dollar.

I want you all to remember back to exPresident George Bush's references to the "Superfund." Remember in the first installment I related about the Great Registers which held the registration of all deeds on property? Remember also that I illustrated how we are all, in reality, nothing but chattel property and collateral to the Federal Reserve for the bankruptcy of the United States of 1933?

There is an account opened in the World Bank under a fictitious name, wherein the Great Registers and yourselves, as chattel property, are the assets of the account. Every person in this nation, being chattel property and collateral to the bankruptcy, has been insured by the Federal Reserve. It is the Great Registers of allodial property and your persons, as insured chattel, that comprise the "Superfund." Grandma has been researching this for years and she knows whereof she speaks.

The account number of this account is the same infamous bank account number which recently brought about the demise of the Baring's Bank in England. A little earlier it was the Credit Lyonnaise in Paris. This same account number appears when these banks go down, or "moneys are lost."

Participants in this monetary feeding frenzy into this World Bank account, number 888888888, are Goldman Sachs, et al, and others in contract with the U.S. Government, AKA Ariel Life Systems, DFG Inc., Palm Springs Baseball Club and other Baseball Clubs (this is in writing and safely secreted as hard evidence).

Goldman-Sachs is securely enthroned in the White House and on the Council of the Governors' Conference of the IMF, through former Goldman-Sachs employee, Secretary of the Treasury and Khazarian, Robert Rubin.

Back in the 1980's and early 1990's, many Americans had unauthorized Life Insurance policies taken out on them by the Federal Reserve. Through research into treaties, it appears that the Federal Reserve is a Maritime Limited Liability corporation, or insurance company.

One man in Crosby (in either North or South Dakota) received a check for an amount in excess of \$4 million. Everyone thought it was a mistake, and the check was returned. Others have discovered if they purchase a home or piece of property, put \$40,000 into escrow, double escrow's occurred. And in the time it took to close the escrow accounts, the \$40,000 was multiplied by 100, moved into the Fed, and the Fed moved it over into Life Insurance and insured the individual, without his knowledge. Ultimately the property title was bad, and in trying to clean up the mess the insured individual either died of a heart attack or committed suicide. The family didn't even get the equity, but this corrupt umbrella received the \$4 million worth of Life Insurance, which was then put into World Bank account number 88-8888888, which is used as the "National Faith and Credit" of the United States.

The Governor of the Federal Reserve, currently the Khazarian Alan Greenspan, also serves on the Council, which is comprised of the Governors of the fifty States through the Governors' Conference, headed up by Metro. Metro, through the Council, is the IMF. The IRS works for the IMF and the Federal Reserve as collection agents for the debt incurred by the bogus bankruptcy of 1933.

As seen through earlier faxes, the IRS was incorporated in Delaware in 1933. The Resident Agent of this corporation was the Capitol Trust Co.. The IRS claimed authority to the "will, rights" instruct the representatives of the United States to international financial institutions." Here you see how the Secretary of the Treasury, who takes his marching orders from the Council made up of the Governors of the fifty states and controlled by Metro, "instructs" U.S. appointees to international financial institutions in matters of international finance, i.e. Foreign Aid.

The Council, i.e. the Governors' Conference (a Metro creation) dictates to your government what

it will and will not do in matters of international finance. You will also note that the Council also dictates in matters of international "exchange." In other words, the Council sets policy for international currency exchange rates for the dollar.

I want you all to remember back to exPresident George Bush's references to the "Superfund." Remember in the first installment I related about the Great Registers which held the registration of all deeds on property? Remember also that I illustrated how we are all, in reality, nothing but chattel property and collateral to the Federal Reserve for the bankruptcy of the United States of 1933?

There is an account opened in the World Bank under a fictitious name, wherein the Great Registers and yourselves, as chattel property, are the assets of the account. Every person in this nation, being chattel property and collateral to the bankruptcy, has been insured by the Federal Reserve. It is the Great Registers of allodial property and your persons, as insured chattel, that comprise the "Superfund." Grandma has been researching this for years and she knows whereof she speaks.

The account number of this account is the same infamous bank account number which recently brought about the demise of the Baring's Bank in England. A little earlier it was the Credit Lyonnaise in Paris. This same account number appears when these banks go down, or "moneys are lost."

Participants in this monetary feeding frenzy into this World Bank account, number 888888888, are Goldman Sachs, et al, and others in contract with the U.S. Government, AKA Ariel Life Systems, DFG Inc., Palm Springs Baseball Club and other Baseball Clubs (this is in writing and safely secreted as hard evidence).

Goldman-Sachs is securely enthroned in the White House and on the Council of the Governors' Conference of the IMF, through former Goldman-Sachs employee, Secretary of the Treasury and Khazarian, Robert Rubin.

Back in the 1980's and early 1990's, many Americans had unauthorized Life Insurance policies taken out on them by the Federal Reserve. Through research into treaties, it appears that the Federal Reserve is a Maritime Limited Liability corporation, or insurance company.

One man in Crosby (in either North or South Dakota) received a check for an amount in excess of \$4 million. Everyone thought it was a mistake, and the check was returned. Others have discovered if they purchase a home or piece of property, put \$40,000 into escrow, double escrow's occurred. And in the time it took to close the escrow accounts, the \$40,000 was multiplied by 100, moved into the Fed, and the Fed moved it over into Life Insurance and insured the individual, without his knowledge. Ultimately the property title was bad, and in trying to clean up the mess the insured individual either died of a heart attack or committed suicide. The family didn't even get the equity, but this corrupt umbrella received the \$4 million worth of Life Insurance, which was then put into World Bank account number 88-8888888, which is used as the "National Faith and Credit" of the United States.

The Governor of the Federal Reserve, currently the Khazarian Alan Greenspan, also serves on the Council, which is comprised of the Governors of the fifty States through the Governors' Conference, headed up by Metro. Metro, through the Council, is the IMF. The IRS works for the IMF and the Federal Reserve as collection agents for the debt incurred by the bogus bankruptcy of 1933.

As seen through earlier faxes, the IRS was incorporated in Delaware in 1933. The Resident Agent of this corporation was the Capitol Trust Co.. The IRS claimed authority to the "will, rights which are dedicated to the disruption of constitutional check-and-balance government and the substitution of collectivized Metro to take its place."

Notice the distinct similarity of Metro's mode of operation and the corporations about which I've written. The Reece Commission, during research into the giant trust foundations, looked at 1313, but only briefly. I believe that the Congressional committee doing this research under the Sherman Anti-Trust Act was warned off or threatened to not look too closely at Metro. We have laws in place to prosecute for these

treasonous activities if we only had people of courage and conviction in our government rather than bought representatives or scared rats.

Metro knows the power it holds, but people have to realize that these people eat, breathe, and put their underwear on one leg at a time. They are not all powerful, as they think they are. Their power resides in the fear of people to go up against them. The same power resides in the ADL and their lobbying arm AIP AC

The Council over international finance makes damn certain that Israel gets it's \$30 billion of US taxpayer dollars every year. This figure does not count the "foreign aid" sent to England, France, Germany, Russia and the multitudes of other countries dictated by Metro's controlled Governor's Conference and National Advisory Council on International Monetary and Finance Policies, i.e. the IMF.

Metro determines the routing and amount that goes to foreign nations through what was discussed herein. The Communist International is the brain child of the Ashkenazi Zionists. Of the nine members of the first Soviet, virtually all were "Jews," i.e. Khazarian Ashkenazi Elite. Wherever you turn in the plan to take over the world you will find the Khazarians and the families of European Royalty, most particularly the Netherlands and England. Notice from Jo Hindman's chart that the Metro ties directly into the Hague, located in the Netherlands. Many of the old Royal Families were undetermined by marriage with Khazarians many centuries ago.

Jo Hindman writes:

"Recently, 1313's parasite Metro government has been uncovered functioning within state governments. 1313 was assisted with Carnegie and Rockefeller money -more than eight million from the Rockefeller Spelman Fund. Tax-exempt Ford Foundation has been, and still is, pouring money into 1313 operation. You, as a United States citizen, are feeding tax dollars into 1313 and, also, if yours is one of the many states that contribute to 1313's Council of State Governments, through a state commission on interstate (or intergovernmental) cooperation."

CSG is part of a linkage that leads into Red Russia, as follows: CSG interlocks with the Committee for International Municipal Cooperation, which transmits funds raised in the United States to the International Union of Local Authorities, the organization that commingles with Communist Yugoslavia and Communist East Germany -the latter through the International Federation for

Documentation (IFD), an International information pool. Records further reveal that IFD collaborates

with the USSR, through the International Committee Social Sciences Documentation, in sharing legal information, including an annotated up-to-date bibliography on law in the United States of America.

I have stated on many occasions that we are paying for our own destruction. The research and ties into Metro prove definitively that I've been right. If you go to your state statute books you will find statutes on interstate governmental cooperation and regional governor's committees and conferences. I have personally seen such statutes under Governmental Organization chapters in the Florida Statutes. California and Florida are the front-runners in the Metroization of America. Florida has one of the most intricately designed Administrative procedures Acts that I have seen

Another area that Metro has its fingers into is the creation of Model Charters, Constitutions and laws. If you read your state administrative procedure chapters of your state statutes, you will find that they all fall back on the Model AP A as the guideline for states with undeveloped Administrative Procedure Acts. They design Model Charters for counties and cities. Orlando, Florida, through its model charter, has gathered all of the political power into the hands of the county commission, whose head is the real power of the county. The Mayor of Orlando has been relegated to the level of figurehead and marketing rep, with no political authority to speak of.

Look at the recent financial fiasco in California's Orange County. Through financial misdeeds,

which will cost the taxpayers of that state billions of dollars to bail out, just how much did Metro manipulation play in the bad investments? And did Metro profit in some manner? I think that's a fair question, which deserves close scrutiny and investigation. Another good question is, just where did this money go, as well as the \$300 billion that "disappeared" from the S&L scandal?

Metro, having a communist or collectivist agenda, believes in the confiscation of all private property. Whenever Metro takes over a city or county, new taxing and zoning laws are quickly put into place. Through manipulation of bond issues, the Metro governments leave the taxpayer footing the bill for their projects, such as "urban renewal," which is one of their pet projects.

Quoting Hindman;

"The redevelopment type of urban renewal perhaps is the swiftest of all methods of taking private property from property owners.

Under law, the agency declares a neighborhood "blighted," the city planning department dreams up a plan to redevelop the neighborhood, the plan is sent to Washington where it may be approved.

The city then is eligible for a Federal loan or grant to go ahead with the project. The property owners may learn about it at this late stage.

Tax-allocation bonds are a favorite type of financing in urban renewal. Under the tax allocation plan the local agency authorizes the bonds. They are sold. Revenue in the form of taxes from the redeveloped property is pledged to payoff the bonds. In other words, taxes are allocated to payoff the bonds. This is the notorious "Sacramento Plan" of urban renewal financing. This is described as a no-cost-to-the-taxpayer plan, and I wager that you can see through it."

I think it is probably safe to say that with such a huge lockdown on the courts, and the ever increasing power of the Metro governments, that the taxpayers are now paying for "suburban development" and housing projects of big business investors in commercial property. The corruption in government at all levels falls right into the plans of Metro and the Protocols.

The days of Boss Tweed have returned with a vengeance. What Metro has introduced into American culture is the 'Good Ole Boys' network on a national scale, at all levels of government. When their deeds are occasionally discovered, then the Metro controlled Conference of Chief Justices and the National Association of Attorneys General are there to sweep the mess under the rug. The people take it on the chin and the money interests come out ahead. The lawyers and the courts make money on the fines and legal fees and the businesses and land developers walk away clean, with profit to boot. Of course, under the Metro system of political "bosses," the players all get a piece of the action. This piece of the action in the court system is revealed when one looks into the laws, and finds out that judges in many of the states, if not all, wind up with a fair percentage of the fines collected put right into their retirement funds, sometimes as high as 25% of the fine.

Every government agency is covering up for the others. The few honorable people that get elected into office usually resign, or are blackmailed into submission. The unscrupulous can usually be bought for a fee if, in fact, they had not been bought and put into office in the first place. Whenever a citizen works to expose known criminal actions the police are sent out and people are arrested for trying to report crimes. How many of you in your cities are beleaguered by "Metro" police?

It has been discovered in many states that the "Highway Patrol" and even some city police are, in fact, not lawful police agencies at all, but are "Private Security Forces." They are enforcing Metro policies under the cover of being legitimate law enforcement personnel when they are, in fact, nothing more than glorified security guards. This is not just an idle allegation but can be readily proven.

This is your nation today America. Through the United Nations, the Communist International, the Good Ole Boys' network, the Federal Reserve, the IMF, the Governors' Conference and the other alphabet-soup organizations, both private and quasi-governmental, all roads lead to Metro.

The address is known and even some of the players are known. The manner of fraudulent government contracting and misappropriation of taxpayer dollars goes into the coffers of Metro and its political lackeys and henchmen.

Another rape by the Metro-controlled IMF is the price of gold. Within the IMF agreement the price of gold is kept at \$38 an ounce, for trading between their fellow bankers and thieves, yet the people pay upwards of \$380 an ounce. The Federal Reserve and Metro have stolen the wealth of this nation by deceit, fraud and treason.

The Board of Governors, the Governors' Conference, the Federal Reserve, Capitol Trust Company and its subsidiaries, the Governors of the fifty States, the US House and Senate, the President of the United States, all Metro sponsored city and county government officials, the Rockefeller Trust, the Carnegie Trust, the Morgan Trusts, the Ford Foundation, corrupt judges, lawyers and police agencies, the ADL and JDL and others have:

(a) Committed gross violations of Constitutional laws on both State and Federal levels. These activities are felonious at best, and treasonous at worst, and deprive the lawful government of this nation, the people, of their property, prosperity and God-given rights, secured in the Constitution.

(b) Committed crimes in and at the Highest Level of our nation's government, consisting of Constitutional violations, extortion, racketeering, conspiracy to commit fraud, collusion to commit felonies, felonies, fraud, seditious acts, giving aid and comfort to the enemy - treason, resulting in rampart monopolies, tyranny and despotism.

(c) Committed criminality's in our cities and counties such as treason, sedition, collusion, and those issues presented in (b) above, along with violations of the Hobbs Act, the RICO Act, the Sherman AntiTrust Act and the Clayton Act, section B. All of the acts named, and more, have been put forth in order to force State Established Religions, called "Democracy" and "Noahide Law" onto the soil of this Constitutional Republic.

(d) Through deception, fraud and misrepresentation (carefully hidden), collusion between foreign governments, private trusts and corporations (behind the mask of legitimacy under "Holding Trust umbrellas" and "shell corporations j, violated the laws of this nation, while government at all levels either turns a blind eye or colludes with these entities to destroy this nation.

(e) Commingled (which is a criminal offense) to defraud through theft, robbery, unlawful confiscation of property, illegal imprisonment, spying on American citizens, deprivation of justice, passage of unwholesome laws, violations of due process, murder and mayhem, allowing such terrorist organizations such as the JDL and unregistered agents of a foreign Intelligence gathering organization, as in the ADL, to be headquartered on our soil. All of these offenses are meant to destroy this nation, and its people, for the sake of the Khazarian Ashkenazi elite would-be world rulers - One World Order.

(f) Implemented a form of government foreign to our Laws, and in violation thereof governed by Executive Order under a false "National Emergency." Printed laws in the Federal Register with built in acceptance, in subversion of the legislative process, and spread these laws across the people of this nation when they, in fact, only apply to the Federal enclaves.

(g) Buried the Common Law, and made all other law unreachable by the creation and maintenance of a foreign organization, known as the American Bar Association, which is an affiliate arm of the British Bar Association and governed by the crown of England, i.e. another foreign agent corporation.

Many ask how the tie-in exists between the Metro 1313 organization and agencies such as the ADL and the JDL. The same property owner of 1313 E. 60th Street owns the building at 1500 Lakeshore Drive in Chicago. The 12th floor of 1500 Lakeshore is the training center for JDL terrorists in this nation.

In the event one is wondering how far and how deep the corruption goes, take a look at the Security Exchange Rules and Laws. It deliberately mandates "underbelly Exposure." For the reader's

consumption, when the underbelly is exposed you have exposed the most vital parts, which makes one highly vulnerable. Through underbelly exposure of corporations, you wind up with hostile takeovers which put Americans out of work. This is the method of how the multi-national banks, corporations, and trusts are destroying this nation.

Let's take another look at the IMP Agreement of 1946-47. One hundred twenty-three bankrupt nations entered into the contractual agreement of General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade, or "GATT ." This agreement has been going on for all these years, but was not given the veil of legitimacy until Bill Clinton signed the agreement, in absence of Constitutional law, in December 1994. (Constitutional law - When an agreement is made or entered into, it must be ratified by the House and Senate within 2 Years. If not ratified in that time frame, it shall be deemed null and Void.)

These 123 bankrupt nations, in agreement (whereupon the last signature was obtained in 1947), have thrown the entire world into a socialist driven Welfare Program, and the United States has been made the sole supporter of this World Class Welfare System. These 123 bankrupt nations entered the first Bretton Woods Agreement in 1930, in Geneva. The IMP came out of Bretton Woods as did the World Bank. On an aside, there is no such "place" as the World Bank. It is a fiction. For all intents and purposes the International Bank of Reconstruction and Development is the World bank.

Forty-eight years of collusive fraud has destroyed American industry, crept by stealth into your homes, schools, trades, health care, Social Security's mandatory Treasury Trust (which is \$588 trillion upside down), and is stealing and bankrupting a nation and a people.

This can all be laid directly at the feet of the Khazarian Ashkenazi elite International Bankers, such as the Khazarian House of Rothschild, the Khazarian controlled Bank of England and the Robbels Bank of the Netherlands -which holds the receivership of the U.S. bankruptcy of 1933 and is owned by the Khazarian Paul Warburg. Paul Warburg was once the Governor of the Federal Reserve Bank, and in testimony before Congress in 1950 stated, "We will have world government, either by consent or conquest." The Warburg family is a controlling factor in German Ranking, and has been for many, many years.

All of these Khazarian International Banking entities control the bankruptcies of all those 123 nations of the GATT and the Bretton Woods Agreement of 1947. You can bet your bottom dollar that there are Metro-type organizations in all of the major industrial nations of the world, and they are all interlinked by the Khazarian communist created United Nations.

A recent confidential letter, sent only to Jewish constituents by senator (Khazarian) Diane Feinstein, stated that her loyalty resided with the State of Israel. Senator (Khazarian) Howard Metzenbaum, as a final act before leaving office, insured that a bill was passed that insured "perpetual" foreign aid to Israel. Remember, Metro's Governors' Conference and the IMP decide who gets foreign aid. This should serve as evidence of the tie between Metro and Khazarian controlled Israel.

The ADL Khazarian controlled Southern Poverty Law Center, headed by the Khazarian Morris Dees, is acknowledged as spying on American Citizens under the unsubstantiated claims of investigating "White Supremacy" groups who are, more often than not, nothing more than patriotic Americans trying to ferret out the truth on the corruption of our nation -which always leads back to the Khazarian Ashkenazi elite and their agents and lackeys. There are assaults on the First Amendment guaranteed freedoms of religion and the press. While Christian symbols are removed from schools, your Congress passed legislation that implements the Noahide laws in Public Law 102-14. These acts are within the Congressional Record and can be found in the public record. By ironfisted control of all the major media outlets, the truth of the Khazarian Ashkenazi has been repressed. Anyone who puts forth the truth is branded as "anti-Semitic." Many will think of this writing as a branding of all Jews, but it is only the Khazarian elite that are using all of us, Jews and Gentile alike, to push forward their global agenda of

absolute control.

At law, when the laws are in conflict, the oldest law prevails. The oldest law of this nation is the Constitution. Every law passed that violates this Supreme Law is, in fact, no law at all- per U.S. Supreme Court decision. The United Nations, which sits on Rockefeller donated land, has never been given congressional authority to sit on our soil. The agreement, which supposedly allows this enemy of our nation and the world to sit on our soil, has never been signed or accepted by Congress. The United Nations is, therefore, an unregistered foreign enemy agent residing in America, and working avidly through the Congress, State and local governments, to destroy our beloved Republic.

The heads of all these organizations must be brought to justice if we are ever to once more have a truly free America. All of the judges who perpetrate the fraud and treason, all the cops who enforce the fraud and treason, all the elected officials who have forwarded the Metro agenda through the legislative process, and all the private corporations and trust fund manipulators that work to destroy this nation, must be tried for their High Crimes against the people of this nation. A Constitutional Common Law Tribunal and People's Grand Jury must be convened, and these matters aired and prosecuted. Examples must be set. The price of treason is defined. This penalty must be implemented upon conviction of lawful review of each case.

To those of you who have questioned our presentations of history of late, I hope you now understand. The first sentence of Jo Hindman's book says it all: "You are being captured without bomb, bayonet, or war cry."

Chapter 3

In the previous two parts we proved how the government, at all levels, works in collusion with private industry and an organization at 1313 East 60th Street in Chicago, known as Metro, to hold this nation hostage.

The first article was sent out via fax on Friday night to the White House, the House, and the Senate. Immediately on the heels of that fax there was a hurried convening of the Governors' Conference, which was aired on C-Span. It was reported to me, by the person that watched the meeting of the Governors, that they never could determine what the meeting was about. Comment was made that Haley Barbour, head of the Republican National Committee was in attendance as well. My source was confused as to why he was there, as he is not a Governor. This indicates that Mr. Barbour is intimately tied to Metro and the Governors' Conference. To those who have read the expose, it should be readily obvious why the Governors' Conference convened. ...to try and figure out what they were going to do, now that the truth is out on their organization and their treasonous activities exposed!

We presented how none of the Governors of the fifty states 'join" the Governors' Conference but "become" members upon their election to office. We explained how the organization known as Metro, and it's subsidiaries, holds your government hostage to their demands. Through blackmail, threats, intimidation and even by threatening the lives of your elected representatives and their families, Metro has been able to forward its communistic socialist agenda in America. It is our hope that these articles will serve to open the door of subversion and treason to the American people and to those people held hostage in our government and courts. It is our belief that now that the secret is revealed, the honorable people in our government can speak out and turn evidence against the wrongdoers in the safety of public knowledge. It is our hope that we have given these people a choice where there was not one before. The time of revealing is at hand and all of the people in positions of government will have to make a choice: to stand with the people of this nation against the treason, or go down with the corporate fascist organization known as Metro and its corporate associates. The choice is yours. You have to make it.

In the previous articles Grandma and I tied all the pieces of the web of treason together to form a complete picture of how the entity known as Metro, through it's organization the Governors' Conference, has held this nation in economic slavery to their ally and associates at the Federal Reserve. What is about to be revealed in this article will sicken you at the level of depravity that these corporate communists will go to make a lousy buck. Strap on your seatbelts folks because this is going to be the most depraved revealing of truth ever presented. I do not make that claim lightly!

In 1933 FDR declared a national emergency to protect his banker friends at the Federal Reserve from being found out that they had stolen the gold of this nation. The corporation known as the United States went bankrupt and went into receivership. Although only the Federal government was bankrupt, all the land titles and the people of this nation were pledged by the U.S. government to the Federal Reserve as collateral for the bankruptcy. On March 9, 1933 we went from being a free people to being chattel property of the Federal Reserve, the collection agent of the Robbels Bank of the Netherlands who was the receiver of the bankruptcy. Once this key element was in place

it was a free-for-all For the multinational corporations and international bankers to rape this nation. As stated in 1933 we became chattel property, so with all this newly acquired "property" in the form of the real land titles and deeds, and your physical persons, there had to be some way to manage and account for it all. The Congress passed a law that required all "inter-course" in business and otherwise to be licensed. It was at this point that births had to be registered and marriage licenses had to be acquired before you could marry. If you look under marriage license in Black's Law Dictionary it will eventually point you to "miscegenation," which is intermarrying between different races. Prior to the passage of the FDR licensure bill, common law marriages were the mode of the day, being logged, usually, in the **family Bible**. When FDR said he wanted to license "all intercourse" he wasn't kidding. Sexual intercourse, through the institution of marriage, became a licensed commodity, as well as anything else currently requiring licenses.

In 1935 the government passed the Social Security Act. On its face it seemed like a great idea, but when you see the broader picture and realize that it was done for the enhancement of the Federal Reserve, a wing of Metro, you will see it differently. Section 203 of the Social Security Act reads as follows:

Sec. 203. (a) If any Individual dies before attaining the age of sixty-five, there shall be paid to the *estate* an amount equal to the total wages determined by the Board to have been paid to him, with respect to employment after December 31, 1936 [italics mine].

Now this doesn't mean much until you think about it. When we became chattel property, the Federal Reserve, as the collection agent of the bankruptcy, became the "estate." Now I know that in many instances children are paid out sums of money by Social Security if their parents die and they are underage, until the time the children reach the age of eighteen. But what happens to the estates of those who have worked all their lives and die who have no children? The Federal Reserve, as the estate, collects the money.

How many people die before reaching the age of 65? What happens to their estates? You don't see grown children getting their Social Security, do you? You guessed it, right into the coffers of the Federal Reserve. Quite a racket, eh?

And then on top of all of this thievery, those who leave estates to their heirs leave the heirs responsible for an "inheritance tax" to make damn sure the Federal Reserve, through it's collection agency the IRS, gets every dollar of American wealth that it can steal You have theft compounded upon theft and the government, under direction of Metro's Governors' Conference, has the guns to enforce the theft, so the people of this nation don't have a chance!

The Social Security "Trust" Fund is broke. It has been raped of \$588 trillion. Where did all that money go? Seeing as how Metro, et al, works behind corporations and Trusts I'd be willing to bet that it wound up in their coffers. What do you think?

Here's another racket that you're just going to love. In 1980 the Congress, under duress and control of Metro and the Governors' Conference, changed the bankruptcy laws in this nation. Under the old Chapter 7 bankruptcy laws, a company that went bankrupt had all of its assets frozen for liquidation, to settle its bankruptcy debts. With the advent of the Chapter 11 bankruptcy laws, the taxpayer became liable for corporate bankruptcy debts, while the companies "restructured." How many times have you heard the term "restructuring" under "bankruptcy?" Well I am going to reveal what restructuring really

is.

Under the original Federal Reserve Act you will find a section that reads as follows:

Receivership In Insolvency - "Whenever the federal reserve board shall become satisfied of the insolvency of any such corporation, it may appoint a receiver who **shall** take possession of **all of the property and assets** of the corporation and exercise the same rights, privileges, power and authority, with respect thereto, as are exercised by **receivers of national banks**, appointed by the comptroller of the currency of the United States: Provided, however, that the assets of the corporation subject to the laws of other countries or jurisdictions shall be dealt with in accordance with the terms of such law."

So, what you see here is the Federal Reserve, with the power and authority to dispose of corporate property and assets, by appointing the receiver of its choice. You can bet your bottom dollar that the receiver is **always** a corporate associate organization of Metro. It will be an interesting study to see who all the receivers have been for the corporate bankruptcies over the last number of years.

There are a couple of ways I can see this scam running. You take Metro affiliated corporations and someone deliberately bankrupts the corporation. The assets are safely spirited away into some Swiss bank account or something similar. Then they declare bankruptcy, and their Metro buddies at the Federal Reserve appoint a receiver and take over **the assets and property**. The receiver is a Metro affiliate. None of the bankrupt corporation's funds have actually disappeared. They have only been removed to give the appearance of bankruptcy. The Fed then assigns the receiver and under Chapter 11 the taxpayer refunds all the corporate assets when, in fact, none were ever lost. Metro, et al, take the taxpayers to the cleaners, put the "bankrupt" corporation into one of their corporations and everyone walks away happy. ...except the taxpayer. This is a massive form of "double-dipping." Howard Hughes was notorious for this kind of scam.

The other option is to force a competitor into bankruptcy claim all his property and then let the taxpayers replace the lost assets. Another Metro Associate gets appointed as receiver and they all make more money, and destroy their competition in the offing. More Americans are put out of work, the United States has to borrow more money (at interest) from the Federal Reserve, to cover the unemployment compensation. The taxpayers pick up the liability of this part of the national debt, to be collected by the IRS, a Metro organization. The American jobs are then sent overseas to foreign countries, where wages are less than 50 cents an hour. Metro, et al, makes huge corporate profits on wage savings. Since Metro and the Governors' Conference control Congress they have pushed through "Free Trade" bills such as GATT and NAFTA, to get these cheap foreign made products back into this nation. ...more profits!

You must remember here folks that you are talking hundreds of billions of dollars of taxpayer liabilities. Remember the S&L "bail-out" to the tune of \$300 billion, taxpayer reimbursed of course? Who wound up as receiver in that rip off? My bet is J.P. Morgan and Rockefeller interests. The Rothschild owned Bank of America probably got a piece of the action as well. All of this through the Metro controlled Resolution Trust Company.

In 1851 the Congress passed the Limited Liability Act. This act brought Admiralty law, or the law of the sea, onto the soil of this nation. Remember, we told you

that the Federal Reserve was a Maritime (i.e. Admiralty) Limited Liability corporation. Limited liability, otherwise known as insurance, came out of Roman Civil law whereby the merchant ship owners grouped together to "insure" themselves against shipwrecks and piracy. The Founders of this nation were insistent on keeping Admiralty/Maritime law on the sea and not on the land. They knew the resultant grief of Admiralty brought onto the land. This entire expose serves as an indictment against Roman Civil Law operating on the soil of this nation.

But now, get to the real moneymaker: Death. As previously revealed, the Federal Reserve insures each and every man, woman and child in this nation. As "property" we are insurable to them. The Fed holds life insurance which none of us know about or consent to. The Metro and its organizations control this nation. That has been proven. A goodly percentage of the Council of States Governments' (CSG) associated corporations read like a multinational corporate who's who. Many of these corporations are tied into war related products. War is a very profitable venture. The economy booms during war. But the following revelation will shock you. A man named Lorenzo Tontine came up with the insurance scheme to insure people as property. His plan was implemented in France in 1689. What was needed was a "pool of assets" to draw from in order to payout the policies. The House of Rothschild originated in France. It was Rothschild who figured out the way to loan government money and have them pay it back to his bank with interest and thereby took over nations through monetary control. The Rothschild empire was already in the building in 1689. The "pool of assets" needed to back the Tontine Insurance scheme became the Gross National Product of the nations where the banks resided and the Tontine Insurance scheme was based.

Stocks were sold to individuals to participate in the Tontine scheme. With the pool of assets established by the stock sale and the pledge of the governments' gross national product, it was time to start making some money.

Being insured as property to the Federal Reserve we are worth more dead than alive. So the International Bankers start wars. They make money off of the war related industries and those profits, but the real money comes with the payoff of all those life insurance policies of all those dead American soldiers!

At the end of every war there is a huge increase in the national debt. We always thought that it was because of equipment and supplies and such. The reason the national debt expands so much during and after a war is because the United States Government covers the losses on all those Federal Reserve Life Insurance policies and the American taxpayer pays off the policy through the IRS and other federal taxes. In reality, the corporate United States is the underwriter to the Federal Reserve Life Insurance scam. Aside from the "Full faith and Credit" of the United States, the Social Security Trust Fund, through the 3.5% allocated in section 203 of the Social Security Act, served as the pool of assets for the Federal Reserve to participate in the Tontine Insurance scheme. When the Social Security Administration was privatized, the trust was raped.

Every war that has been fought since 1689, no matter the public reason given, has been fought by manipulation of the International Bankers to collect on their Tontine Insurance policies.

The Federal government sends Americans off to wars in foreign lands just so they can make Life Insurance payoffs to the Federal Reserve! It is the biggest insurance fraud scam ever devised. And you can bet that every Central Bank in every country in the

world is running the same scam. The human race is nothing but a moneymaking device for the International Bankers and the multinational corporations. This is a sad fact of life people. This is a very hard pill of truth to swallow, but this is reality. All that needs be done to prove it is to audit the Federal Reserve System and it will all come to light. Now do you understand why the Federal Reserve **has never been audited**?

For further verification of the Tontine Insurance scheme go to Title 46 U.S.C. § 181-189. In 1868 the Congress outlawed this fraud with the Tontine Insurance Act. By continuing this scheme the Federal Reserve is **in violation** of International Law as well as the laws of the United States.

Since the Tontine Insurance organization of stockholders are invested in the program, the distribution of the payout on the insurance policies is divided between the stockholders. Look who the stockholders are of the Federal Reserve and I'll bet that every one of them is tied directly into Metro, et al. The Federal Reserve has about 100,000 stockholders. What do you think?

With the iron-fisted control of Metro, the Governors' Council, made up of the Governors of the fifty states and the President of the United States, the IMF the IRS (to insure the collection on the policies), the Federal Reserve and the corporate United States, is it any wonder that a Congress held hostage cannot make headway into the fraud and treason?

Let's take this life insurance scam a bit further. If you will note from the second article, the attached list of corporate associates includes most of the pharmaceutical drug manufacturers. Recent research has proven that the vast majority of "drugs" hurt you more than help you. Many of them are, in fact, killers. AZT, the drug used to treat AIDS, failed the FDA test because of fatal side effects. You have the Gulf War veterans suffering from the Gulf War syndrome, which is caused by reaction from these pharmaceutical drugs and biological agents. The Gulf War disease is contagious, but the controlled media won't report the facts and American veterans are dying. The VA hospitals are turning these vets away rather than face the truth of their situation. It has been proven that AIDS was manufactured and purposely introduced in this nation and in Africa (through the World Health Organization and vaccines).

Every American that dies from these diseases, or any other type of death, causes a payoff on the Federal Reserve Tontine life insurance scam. Can you begin to understand why Americans aren't healthy and why health foods and herbs and vitamins are getting harder and harder to get? Why does the FDA want to regulate and restrict vitamins? Maybe so the American public falls more ill and dies, so the Fed and Metro, et al, can make more life insurance payoffs?

Now you understand the title of this article. These people are the bankers and the "merchants" of death. This is not the end of this series, but I think that we have presented virtually the most massive fraud in the history of mankind. There are those that will throw stones at me for my claims about the Khazarian "Jewish" influence in all of this. On September 25th your federal Congress was not in session to do the people's business because of the Jewish holiday Rosh Hosanna. Your federal tax dollars paid for the building of "Holocaust" museums all across this nation and the one in D.C. was built on federal land. Yiddish is being taught in school in Missouri and at least in Florida, Schindler's List is mandatory course material in high schools by mandate of the state. Why are your children not taught about the American Republic instead of being

brainwashed with the communist tenets of "democracy?" Rothschild was a Khazarian Ashkenazi elitist. How much will it take for you to see the Khazarian influence.

Grandma and I have tried to keep open minds, tried to make sense of the corruption of and by Laws, National, International, State\state and local levels which overwhelm even the most pragmatic minds in existence when this truth is known. The very laws, such as the Sherman Anti-Trust Acts' the Clayton Act (section 8), the Federal Banking Act, the Hobbs Act, the RICO Act (Murder Incorporated), the Sedition Act U.S.C.A. Title 18, the Hughes Doctrine (umbrella corporations), laws against money laundering, extortion and racketeering, monopolies, murder, you name it, are shunned and spat upon by Metro, the Governors' Conference, the Council of State Governments, the IMF, the United States government and state and local governments. This is the hidden agenda of Metro, et al, to rape this nation and re-institute a feudal form of government under the name of communism, AKA democracy, which our government allows, colludes and conspires with.

Since the 1980's between 106 and 120 million Americans have lost their homes, farms and businesses through companies alleging to be federal entities, which we have proven are quasigovernmental or private corporations tied into the Governors' Conference. All of these entities operate under a **massive corporate umbrella** of the Federal Reserve Banking system "Trust(s),"

"parent Holding Trust" AKA the Robbels Bank of the Netherlands as receiver to the bankruptcy.

Farm after farm, home after home has been confiscated through fraudulent Foreclosures, IRS tax liens and other unlawful schemes, all at the behest of the Governors' Conference (your State Governors) and Metro, et al. This all comprises Conflict of Interest of your government "representatives" actually representing private interests. The Governors' Conference has no lawful standing to hold this nation hostage as it has done. They have no official standing, yet due to duress, blackmail and threat they have turned this nation into their own fatted calf, ripe for the slaughter.

These Metro organizations, under the guise of legitimacy, have stolen the American people's lives, property, mineral rights, water rights and have, through their corporate manipulation, gained control of the food supply of this nation. A foreign trust has invaded America, in violation of the Monroe Doctrine, and has imported a law foreign to our soil and heritage. Their thieving deeds are in violation of the laws of equity of the Constitution of the United States (grandfathered) and they must be held responsible!

In light of the foregoing it is apparent that legal Willfulness has occurred, and is sanctioned by the very same entities, groups, organizations and councils who did the same damn thing in Europe in the 1910's, 20's and 30's. In the meantime we must keep in mind the Rhodes Will and that agenda, RETURNING OF THE UNITED STATES BACK TO MOTHER ENGLAND.

One who would have a proficiency in Military maneuvers and tactics would come to the conclusion that the wars in Europe were "diversionary tactics" to distract the people of this nation from what was being put into place. ...a takeover of America by foreign trusts and Corporations. Let's take a closer look at what happened during those years.

Acts of a corrupt Congress on the Railroad Bonds which gave "certain" members

of the U. S. Congress a 500% return on their "investments in the Gold Bonds," none of whom were ever prosecuted for their corruption and crimes, gave away our gold and laid the foundation of the future creation of the Federal Reserve Act. This Act was passed while Congress was out of session, and had no quorum to do a lawful vote.

The Federal Reserve Banking Trust originated in England, started as the Red Shield (schooled) "Pawn Brokers" by Amschel Rothschild himself. The Red Shield (Rothschild) became so powerful that even the Queen of England was pawning off her goods to raise money to pay the Bank of England (owned by the Rothschilds). Then the process began to snowball and finally engulfed America. A little thing called WW I was started by a "trade embargo" between the royal families of Germany and England -royal brothers and sisters (and the banks cleaned up on Tontine Insurance policies to the number of millions of dead). WW II was also started as a trade embargo, when Germany and England again had a family dispute, this time between cousins and grandchildren (and the banks cleaned up on Tontine Insurance policies to the number of millions of dead). The International Monetary Fund, run by Metro's Governors' Conference, came into being with the finalization of the Bretton Woods Agreement. It was originally drafted by France and England and assisted in its creation by a cousin of the Queen of England, Franklin Delano Roosevelt. The original plan was called the British "Keynes Plan," also known as "Bancor," which is also known as the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, AKA Capitol Trust!

Henry Dexter White dreamed up his own plan, known as Lend-Lease, whereby Dexter wanted "credits" and a general "pool" of all moneys.

The Board of Governors (Governors' Conference of Metro) brought about the Act of March 9, 1933, through the aid of the Queen's cousin FDR, and declared the National Emergency, which is still in effect today. The IMP was created in 1945 and it was decided it's base should be in Washington, D.C. One year later it went into full operation. All of the participants are foreign owned private trusts!

These foreign trusts are operating only by the deception of the people and intimidation of our legislative bodies, holding our state and federal congresses hostage to their evil will -through the Metro created and controlled Governors' Conference (your State Governors) Board of the IMP. They have deliberately set aside the Constitution and are in violation of the laws of this nation.

Our duly elected "representatives" sitting in our Houses have become hostages, no longer having the ability to work for their constituents or conduct the lawful affairs for the people. Threatened with dire consequences, upon the revealing of this truth, many of them are powerless. Many are in bed with the treasonous perpetrators. Not only is the Board of Governors holding our representatives, courts and governments hostage, all of our lawful, unaltered Constitutions and laws are held hostage, too. Our property is held hostage, and even our lives and labor are held hostage, by the foreign trust interests of Metro, the State governors and the United Nations.

The Board of Governors cannot represent the American people. They have no lawful standing to do what they do, and they work in collusion with (and conspire with) communist socialist elements, to destroy us as a nation and a people.

For the record: England, in the 1700's, found it necessary to repeal the 60 years of law, due to the corrupt influences in the "law" per Lord Coke. It is those corrupt laws that caused the writing of the Declaration of Independence. This can be historically proven. It

is time we, as a nation and a people, follow this same guideline and repeal all laws repugnant to the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence.

The time has come, America, to take back our nation. This series of articles has broken the chain of bondage that has held your government hostage. There are honorable, red-blooded American Congressmen, Judges, and law enforcement personnel. If Grandma and I can place ourselves in jeopardy to present the truth of these articles, then by God those who have been loosed from their chains can stand up and be counted, too! The people in power in this nation now have a choice that was not there last Friday. The leverage and threats used by Metro, et al, to keep these people silent is no longer there. The "big secret" is now public knowledge. Ronn Jackson's fax network reaches 200250 million people worldwide, through the efforts of millions of Americans. This secret can no longer be hidden. The people in other nations will start screaming for the prosecution of the International Bankers and the multinational corporate treason. They have no place to run and no place to hide any more. The scab on the ugly sore called treason has been removed and it's payback time.

A report received this morning (09/26/95) says that Washington is in turmoil, with Congressmen gathered in secret meetings and wandering through the halls talking to themselves. The Governors' Conference (your fifty State Governors) was convened this last weekend and it has been announced that there is a larger conference being scheduled as this is being written.

These articles have got the rats scrambling for cover. It could cause very hazardous results. President Clinton, a member of the Governors' Conference, may even try and do something stupid, like declare martial law, in order to save all their treasonous asses. This time had to come, Americans, if we are to survive as a nation.

These faxes go worldwide and you can bet that the Central Banks and governments in all the industrialized countries are in the same boat as we are right now. You can bet that there are secret meetings and plans being made at this very minute all over the globe.

When the people of the world read these words, and they will, the outrage from the lies exposed will cause unknown consequences. We are very aware of the dangerous game we play, but we see no alternative but to tell this TRUTH. And truth it is. All that has been presented can be verified.

If the hostages in government can make a stand, then what will come could be handled without any loss of life. But that remains in the hands of the corrupt government officials, owned and controlled by the Metro-like organizations worldwide. We do not advocate violence as a solution to this revelation, but rather cool heads and courts of proper justice. Only in this manner will the best results be achieved.

It is widely known that the truth shall set you free. Let's all hope and pray that that is the case in this period of our history. I ask each of you who receive these articles to copy and mail the full package to every media outlet; newspaper, radio, television and magazine. Send them Certified, with Return Receipt Requested. These return receipts will serve as prima facie evidence against these organizations, when a People's Grand Jury and Constitutional Tribunal is convened to prosecute for the crimes of treason and the multitude of others, listed previously, if they choose not to bring this all to light. Let none of these organizations claim that "they didn't know." Any media outlet that doesn't scream of these crimes from the rooftops, will be guilty of misprision of treason at best,

and treason at worst.

Send it, also, to every Judge, State legislator and several Congressman and Senators. Let none misunderstand that the people will have Constitutional law re-implemented in this nation once more. The crime of treason will be prosecuted, and those found guilty will suffer the prescribed penalty. By revealing this truth we are giving ever one a chance to stand by their nation and their countrymen. If they choose not to pick up the banner of freedom, then they will be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law.

When rats infest a ship, they call the exterminators to clean out the ship. We have a rat infested ship of state, and it's long past time to clean it out.

No more will Americans stand for arbitrary and capricious rulings, by corrupt judges, which rape the wealth of this nation for the sake of the Khazarian elite and their communistic henchmen in Metro, the Governors' Conference, the IMF, the United States government, the State Governments, the county and city planning and zoning boards, the United Nations or the World bank known as the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development. Let there be no misunderstanding, this nation will be returned to it's rightful owners. ..the people! All parties now have a choice: you can stand with America and your countrymen, or you can go down with Metro and it's corrupt allies. There is no longer any "big secret." Now is the time for all good men and women to come so the aid of their country.

The people shall prevail! ! !

Reprints of this copyrighted article, *Beware Metro* by Gary Allen, are available at the following prices: One to 99 copies, seven for one dollar; 100-999 copies, twelve cents each; 1,000 or more copies, ten cents each.

This article first appeared in AMERICAN OPINION magazine, a Conservative journal of opinion, in January of 1973. The subscription rate for AMERICAN OPINION, to any address in the United States, is ten dollars per year; twelve dollars to other countries. For either reprints or subscriptions, address: AMERICAN OPINION
Belmont, Massachusetts 02178

JANUARY, 1973

BEWARE METRO

Pushing Collectivism At Every Level

Gary Allen, a graduate of Stanford University, is author of *Communist Revolution In The Streets*; *Richard Nixon: The Man Behind The Mask*; *Nixon's Palace Guard*; and, *None Dare Call It Conspiracy - a sensational new bestseller with 6 million copies already in print*. Mr. Allen, a former instructor of both history and English, is active in anti-Communist and other humanitarian causes. Now a film writer, author, and journalist, he is a Contributing Editor to AMERICAN OPINION. Gary Allen is also nationally celebrated as a lecturer.

On February 12, 1972, as Richard Nixon and his entourage prepared to wing their way toward Red China on *Air Force One*, an Executive Order numbered 11647, which the President had signed two days earlier, appeared in the daily *Federal Register*. With all eyes on the precedent-setting excursion to Maoland, this monumentally dangerous Executive Order went virtually unmentioned in the press. Carrying all the authority and power of a law passed by Congress, it was every bit as revolutionary as Mr. Nixon's trip to Red China.

Without so much as consulting the Congress, President Nixon had by Executive Order divided the United States into ten federal regions to be run by "Federal Regional Councils." Excused as a new means to develop "closer working relationships between major Federal grant-making agencies and State and local government," the Federal Regional Councils represent a major step toward the era of Big Brother predicted by George Orwell.

Executive Order 11647 creates, along with the ten regions, ten sub-capitals through which the federal bureaucrats will reign over the natives. The Order states:

"There is hereby established a Federal Regional Council for each of the ten standard Federal Regions. Each Council shall be composed of the directors of the regional offices of the Department of Labor, of Health, Education, and Welfare, and Housing and Urban Development, the Secretarial Representative of the Department of Transportation, and the directors of the regional offices of the Office of Economic Opportunity, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. The President shall designate one member of each such Council as Chairman of that Council and such Chairman

shall serve at the pleasure of the President. Representatives of the Office of Management and Budget may participate in any deliberations of each Council"

This Executive Order had been "telegraphed" on March 27, 1969, in a policy statement by Professor Daniel P. Moynihan, then a top Presidential advisor. Professor Moynihan, who is a former chairman of the Fabian Socialists' Americans for Democratic Action, told newsmen at a press conference that the creation of Federal Regional Councils "has been something Presidents have been trying to put into effect for almost twenty years now." And Daniel Moynihan's assistant added: "No President has ever been willing to bite the bullet. Now we have done so."

The division of our country into federal regions was so radical a step that neither John Kennedy nor Lyndon Johnson had dared make the move. It took a Richard Nixon to so hypnotize the American public that our very form of government could be changed without eliciting so much as a yawn.

But what is so radical and revolutionary about establishing ten federal regions? Are they not just a mechanism for "improving the delivery system" for federal programs? After all, we have Federal Reserve Districts and Federal District Courts. We seem to have survived them. Why be excited over Federal Regional Councils? Read on Macduff.

The Federal Regional Councils are part of something variously known as Regional Government, Metropolitan Government, or "Metro." In a nutshell, Metro is the governing of an area or region by a central body of "experts" - planners who are usually appointed and vested with great powers, and who are not directly accountable to the people.

Metro policies and programs, goals and methods, appear in a variety of forms designed to deal with varying state and local laws. But the basic strategy involves merging and consolidation of local city or town governments into it larger area government.

Among the city-county mergers which have taken place are Miami-Dade County, Florida; Nashville-Davidson County, Tennessee; Virginia Beach-Princess Anne County, Virginia; South Norfolk-Norfolk County, Virginia; Jacksonville-Duval County, Florida; Indianapolis-Marion County, Indiana; Carson City-Ormsby County, Nevada; and, Juneau-Bureau of Juneau, Alaska.

There is a veritable army of salesmen now promoting Metro. Often they set up area councils. Two of the biggest in California are the Association of Bay Area Governments, known as A.B.A.G., and the Southern California Association of Governments, calling itself S.C.A.G. In your area it may be called something like East Overshoe Council of Governments. The names vary, but the objectives are always those described above.

Cities are merged with other cities and/or with a county. The counties are merged with other counties, erasing state lines. The distinguished columnist Jo Hindman, who has for fifteen years specialized in watching this business, sums it up this way:

Metro proposes to collect independent units of municipal government under a big super-government and to maintain control of such bodies through something described

as "appointed executive" administration. Since these proposed metropolitan districts frequently cross state lines, the very concept of government units corresponding to them makes hash of our Constitution which vests all reserved governing powers in the several states.

The legions of Metro promoters, dubbed "metrocrats" by columnist Hindman, are either government bureaucrats out huckstering the wonders of a myriad federally funded programs, or they are connected with one of the organizations collectively known as "Thirteen-Thirteen."

Thirteen-Thirteen is at once an idea, a "movement," and a clearinghouse address. The term is applied to the complex by its own people, and is used to designate twenty-two separate organizations with heavily interlocking officers, directors, and trustees - all headquartered in a building erected to house them at 1313 East Sixtieth Street in Chicago. The building is located on land provided by the University of Chicago and was built with funds given for the purpose by the Rockefellers. Out of this headquarters operate the "planners" and social engineers of Metro - men and women who feel they are a class apart; people keepers who see their role in life as that of managers of hoi polloi.

Ten regions, run by Federal Regional Councils, were created in February by Executive Order 11647. Please note that the map show as if some States are cut down the middle and the following the best the map shows!

- 1 - Capital: Boston includes all the States east of New York.
- 2 - Capital: N.Y.C. includes States: New York and New Jersey.
- 3 - Capital: Philadelphia includes Pennsylvania, West Virginia and Virginia.
- 4 - Capital: Atlanta; includes States: Kentucky, Tennessee and all east and south.
- 5 - Capital: Chicago includes States: Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Wisconsin and Minnesota.
- 6, Capital: Dallas-Ft. Worth includes States: Arkansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico and all south.
- 7, Capital: Kansas City includes States: Kansas, Missouri, Iowa and Nebraska.
- 8, Capital: Denver includes States: Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, Montana, North and South Dakota.
- 9, Capital: San Francisco includes States: Arizona, Nevada, and California.
- 10, Capital: Seattle includes States: Idaho, Oregon, and Washington

In practice, the groups making up Thirteen-Thirteen are a single organization divided into twenty-two divisions, each pursuing a separate socialist program aimed at promoting Metro government. Consider the breakdown:

taxing (Federation of Tax Administrators);
rezoning for higher taxation (Municipal Finance Officers Association);
prefabricated Metro systems (Public Administration Service);
masterplanning (American Society of Planning Officials);
international affairs (international City Managers Association and Committee for International Municipal Cooperation);
mental health propaganda (interstate Clearing House on Mental Health);
erasing state sovereignty (Council of State Governments); and,
retroactive building codes (Building Officials Conference of America).*

*The complete list of the twenty-two organizations housed at Thirteen-Thirteen includes American Committee for International Municipal Cooperation; American Municipal Association; American Public Welfare Association; American Public Works Association; American Society for Public Administration; American Society of Planning Officials; Conference of Chief Justices; Council of State Governments; Federation of Tax Administrators; Governor's Conference; International City Managers' Association; Interstate Clearing House on Mental Health; Municipal Finance Officers Association; National Association of Assessing Officers; National Association of Attorneys General; National Association of Housing and Redevelopment Officials; National Legislative Conference; National Association of State Budget Officers; National Association of State Purchasing Officials; National Institute of Municipal Clerks; Public Personnel Association; and, Public Administration Service.

See the author's paperback book, *None Daro Call It Conspiracy*.

The Thirteen-Thirteen operation is an avatar of the National Municipal League, founded in New York City in the 1890s. It is not without meaning that the National Municipal League is today located on East 68th Street in New York City, right across the street from the Establishment *Insiders'* Council on Foreign Relations. Metro has often been described as the domestic arm of the Council on Foreign Relations, and the connections go far beyond mere location as we shall see.

In the beginning the National Municipal League held meetings which were attended by prominent citizens sincerely interested in ending corruption in municipal affairs. By the Thirties, however, the League was being run by highly trained (and highly salaried) "urban specialists," city planners, radical university professors, and an assortment of fanatically ambitious city officials. The National Municipal League quickly became the executive "brain" of the Metro movement and established Thirteen-Thirteen in Chicago as a base for its operations.

Many of the arguments heard in town council meetings from Bangor to San Diego and from Tallahassee to Seattle are now little more than restatements of materials distributed by one or more of the twenty-two organizations centered in the Thirteen-Thirteen complex. Chances are that your own city manager and other city, county, and state officials are members of one or more of these organizations, subscribe to Thirteen-Thirteen publications, have been trained by the Metro staff, or have attended one of its seminars.

The vast Thirteen-Thirteen operation requires, and spends, great sums of money. Who finances it? Who would lie interested in promoting regional amalgamation pursuant to elimination of governments below the federal level?

Students of the operations of Establishment *Insiders* will not be surprised to learn that the Rockefeller clan has been the major sugar daddy of the Metro movement. The Laura Spelman Rockefeller Memorial created the Spelman Fund in 1928, with capital of tell million dollars, and it has received further capital from the Rockefeller Foundation. According to the Fund's annual report of 1947-1948: "The Spelman Fund assumed as its major responsibility an exploration of the possibilities of cooperation with public bodies for the improvement of public administration." The report also speaks of the Fund's role in creating Thirteen-Thirteen:

In 1938, a new building at 1313 E. Sixtieth St., Chicago, (constructed under grants front the Spelman Fund) was completed to provide adequate quarters ... for the use and occupancy of the national governmental organizations. This building has come to be known as "1313." ... An agency known as the Public Administration Clearing House was set up Endorsement of the public Administration Clearing House came from the National Municipal League, the American Municipal Association, etc. ... The Public Administration Clearing House manages the building at 1313 E. Sixtieth St., Chicago

The report of the Rockefellers' Spelman Fund adds: "The Public Administration Clearing House ... has no members and no independent means of support."

Further bankrolling of Thirteen-Thirteen has since been provided by such perennial cornucopias of the Left as the Carnegie Corporation, the Julius Rosenwald (Sears Roebuck & Company) Fund, and the Russell Sage Foundation. But, as with most *Insider* projects, the primary funding now comes from the Ford Foundation. Ford has poured tens of millions of dollars into scores, possibly hundreds, of regional government projects.

It is no coincidence that it is these same foundations which have financed the Establishment *Insiders'* Council on Foreign Relations. The C.F.R.'s primary objective is the creation of a World Government. The replacement of local governments by regional governments is the domestic version of the same program, by which socialism is to be used as a means to control the people from central headquarters.



This building at 1313 East Sixtieth Street in Chicago houses the twenty-two Metro organizations that form the vast Thirteen-Thirteen complex, the purpose of which is to remove local control from the people and place it in the hands of appointed "experts" and "managers." The structure was built to house this operation by the Laura Spelman Rockefeller Fund and it all was long supported exclusively by the Rockefellers. The major funding now comes from the Ford Foundation ... which reminds Contributing Editor Gary Allen of an admission by H. Rowan Gaither Jr., then president of the Ford Foundation. Gaither told chief investigator Norman Dodd of the Reece Committee that the purpose to which the Ford Foundation would be applied **"was to so alter American society that it**

could be comfortably merged with that of the Soviet Union." Ford grants to Thirteen-Thirteen support that purpose by financing Metro collectivism.

When the Reece Congressional Committee was charged with investigating the tax-free foundations, its chief investigator Norman Dodd personally interviewed H. Rowan Gaither Jr., then president of the giant Ford Foundation. Gaither blithely admitted to Dodd that the purpose to which the Ford Foundation would be applied "was to so alter American society that it could be comfortably merged with that of the Soviet Union." Regional government is a major and necessary step toward that merger. Its objective is to prepare our economy to be merged efficiently with that of the U.S.S.R. by placing all authority in the hands of the elite planners.

For those who have sought to create the New World Order abroad and the New Society at home, the unique American form of government - specifically the division of powers between the Legislative, Executive, and Judicial branches; and between federal, state, and local units of government - has been an almost insurmountable obstacle. To overcome this system of checks and balances, schemes had to be devised which appear to ameliorate problems, but which result in the concentration of more and more power in the Executive branch of the federal government. Indeed, we have been unable to find a single piece of legislation passed by Congress during the last four decades that has not done this - including Mr. Nixon's vaunted "revenue sharing" program, which is ballyhooed as doing exactly the opposite.

"After nearly twelve years in Congress," said John Ashbrook of Ohio, **"I continually witness a gap between the stated intention and the real goal, between the alleged and the actual, between the reported and the unreported . . ."** Americans would do well to keep Congressman Ashbrook's observation in mind, as well as these words of the sagacious Thomas Jefferson: **"When all governments shall be drawn to Washington, as the center of power, it will become venal and oppressive."**

American government was built upon the political theory of divided sovereignty - the concept known as a republic. **The Constitution declared that "The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union, a Republican Form of Government . . ."** The law books say that a "Republican Form of Government" is a government of elected representatives, wherein no basic power of government can be withheld by appointees. Metro is designed to reverse this system. It is government by an elite corps of experts. These metrocrat appointees replace or assume authority over locally elected officials.

It is a hoary cliché that you can't fight City Hall. Sometimes that has been true. But there have been a lot of City Hall gangs unceremoniously dispatched by the voters to the ranks of the unemployed. It is nonetheless a fact that when City Hall is run by appointed bureaucrats you are not likely to receive satisfaction. You have a complaint. You take it to your friendly local bureaucrat. He may even be sympathetic. But he explains to you that the matter is out of his hands. Just where the jurisdiction lies to deal with your problem is hard to determine. Your town government has now been merged with ten others into a countywide government. The county government has its own rules and regulations, and then there are the federal guidelines established by the Federal Regional District. Your only recourse is to bang the metrocrat over the head with a copy of Atlas Shrugged - a prospect which is seldom productive.

Our Constitutional Republic was based upon the rule of law, not on the whims of bureaucrats. But, as we have seen, regional government reverses the process. With regional governments becoming more and more enmeshed with the federal government through Urban Renewal, the Model Cities Program, air and water pollution control, road construction, "aid" to law enforcement, transportation control, War on Poverty programs, manpower training, welfare, and a ton of other schemes, local government is being turned into an administrative arm of the federal bureaucracy.

The many federal bureaus with which you must now deal operate on general grants of power given to them by Congress at their creation. But Congress lets the bureaus set up their own day-to-day procedures by non-statutory administrative rules and regulations that carry the force of law. This is nearly the same situation, except at a lower level, as the one we discussed earlier whereby the President issues Executive Orders that amount to royal decrees. Metro administrators, armed with these administrative rules and regulations, run their fiefdoms with all the impunity of the agents of King George III. The Declaration of Independence cites the arbitrary power of such "swarms of officers" as one of our grievances against England. Today we are enthroning precisely the system against which our colonial forebears once rebelled.

Closely related to the replacement of our Constitutional system of rule by law with rule by bureaucratic edict is the regional government policy of disregarding state lines. One of the major checks and balances (or "counterveiling powers") established by the Constitution in the Tenth Amendment was the retention of all powers, not specifically given to the federal government, in the hands of the states and the people. This makes for sovereign states whose internal affairs are their own business. But by tying federal grants to the new federal *regions*, each of which encompasses a number of states, the state lines are made to have no more meaning than traffic lights in New York City.

The attitude of Metro proponents towards the states is typified by the Council for Economic Development, an important study group closely tied with the Council on Foreign Relations.* In one of its studies on local government, the C.E.D. declares:

Fiscal realities have modified the legal concept that the states are the fountain source of all governmental power. The states created the national government, assigning it certain functions and granting it essential powers. The powers of local units were also granted by the states. Realistically, however, capability of response to public desires and adequate financial resources take precedence over legal theory. The states seem less "sovereign" with 20% of their total annual revenues drawn from the federal treasury.

The point is that the sovereignty of the states is *meant* to diminish as the percentage of annual revenue received from the federal government rises. This explains the real purpose behind "revenue sharing" and similar Metro-backed proposals.

*See "Who They Are" in *American Opinion* for October 1972.

Ironically, some Metro programs are promoted under the guise of increasing the power of the states, others purport to increase the jurisdiction of the counties, while others are said to increase the independence of cities. In each case Metro plays the bigger government against the smaller, the objective being to centralize power at an ever higher level. The purpose is to place all power in the hands of the federal government and to turn state, county, and city governments into administrative cogs in one big bureaucratic machine. Metro is a mechanism for changing a limited Constitutional Republic into an unlimited autocracy without altering the *apparent* form of our government. The State of Kansas will still exist. The City of Seattle will still exist. The County of Los Angeles will still exist. But their independence will not. Our entire form of government will have changed. And we hardly need remind you that when a government is run by bureaucratic edicts which for all practical purposes cannot be reversed by the people, dictatorship exists.

You doubt that it will happen? You think this is an exaggeration? Think about your own experiences in trying to obtain justice from the Bureau of Internal Revenue where bureaucrats act as prosecutor, judge, and jury.

Control over taxation is a very important aspect of the Metro movement. Thomas Jefferson advised us long ago that the power to tax is the power to destroy. We might add that the power to tax is also the power to control. That is why Metro units are so eager to get their hands on the power to tax. One of their main arguments is that the big city politicians, through vote-buying welfare schemes, have chased productive taxpayers to the suburbs - leaving the central cities between a fiscal rock and a financial hard place. The woebegone taxpayer who accepts Metro taxation to improve the tax base for the cities is then caught between a vice of rising taxes levied by regional government (often raised to obtain matching federal grants), and increased federal taxes to finance the myriad federal programs said to be designed to "improve the quality of life" at the city, county, and state level. Voters can still deal with the problem at the federal level because Congress controls the purse strings; but, in the local Metro areas, taxes can be set by metrocrats in what amounts to taxation without representation.

While taxation is used to make a direct attack on private property, it is not the only such attack made by the metrocrats. The Metro Planners have used their foundation grants to develop a variety of programs to control and confiscate private property. One of the most successful is Urban Renewal, and such related schemes as Public Housing and Model Cities. Promotion of these programs has long been a priority for the organizations based at Thirteen-Thirteen. It was their lobbying that first put the federal foot in the door of local housing when they persuaded Congress to pass the Title I Housing Act of 1949, establishing federal financing for slum clearance and redevelopment.

The Housing Act of 1954 broadened the provisions of Title I to include not only slum clearance but slum prevention.

Then, in 1954, the Warren Court produced a swamp of sociological jurisprudence giving unlimited power to the government to seize anything it wanted through the formerly very limited "right of eminent domain." According to the Supreme Court, the government could use eminent domain to seize any piece of property it wanted. Karl Marx proposed this concept somewhat differently: He

said it in German. The Court blasted *selfish* owners who might not want their property, in many cases the product of a lifetime of work and saving, seized to satisfy the whims of bureaucrats. The Court stated:

If owner after owner were permitted to resist these redevelopment programs on the ground that his particular property was not being used against the public interest, integrated plans for redevelopment would suffer greatly. The argument pressed upon us is, indeed, a plea to substitute the landowner's standard of the public need for the standard prescribed by Congress Once the question of the public purpose has been decided, the amount and character of land to be taken for the project and the need for a particular tract to complete the integrated plan rests in the discretion of the legislative branch.

Here is an uncomfortable thought for the future: If forced sale of property for Urban Renewal and related programs is Constitutional, why not forced sale for agrarian reform? Sorry I mentioned it.

One would have thought that associations of property owners would have held court and put the Warren gang on trial with Judge Lynch presiding. They did not because Urban Renewal amounted to a federal subsidy for realtors (appraisals are required), for bankers (who financed rebuilding), for construction workers and contractors, and for lawyers who defended the practice with great vigor. The Chambers of Commerce loved it. And no one said that compulsory Urban Renewal is nothing but politically legalized theft.

Besides the immorality of Urban Renewal, it is also a monumental flop from the standpoint of the "humanitarian" purposes that were ascribed to it by the Thirteen-Thirteen lobbyists. During the nineteen-year period from its inception to the end of January 1968, Urban Renewal has depleted the nation's housing supply by 315,451 units. Only 124,175 replacement dwellings were built, but 439,626 were demolished under Urban Renewal programming.

During this period, \$7.1 billion was spent on these projects. Over a million people and an uncounted number of small neighborhood businesses have been the victims of the federal bulldozer. Who weeps for them? Private land which Urban Renewal confiscates from hapless owners is divided by the bureaucrats between public and private interests. About sixteen percent has remained tax exempt in public ownership (raising local taxes) while valuable acreage is sold at cut-rate prices to privileged interests which build high-rise office complexes and shopping centers rather than housing. Meanwhile the former residents of the area find it harder and harder to locate alternative low-cost housing. This leads to over-crowding in adjacent areas. It has in some cases (Cleveland, for example) been blamed as a contributing factor in massive rioting.

With the creation of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (H.U.D.), and the passage of the Model Cities Act, the Urban Renewal concept went regional. Model Cities programs now involve 150 cities - or, to be more accurate, areas - forcing regional government by tying federal funds to its creation. Such payoffs became necessary because, despite all of the pro-Metro propaganda about (lie wonders of government by certified wizards, whenever Metro government was offered on the local ballot, voters almost always rejected it by a ratio of two to one. It took promises of "free" federal funds to overcome their better judgment.

Under Title II of the Omnibus Cities Bill of 1966 - the Metro title - all applications for federal aid under ten programs to provide sewers, construction of hospitals, highways, libraries, airports, etc., must soon be submitted for recommendation to a Metro government before they are forwarded to Washington. The Metro government to which the applications are to be submitted must be a joint planning body for the central city and suburbs.* As a result, the big city Urban Renewal projects have been integrated with the suburbs, forcing "scattered-site" public housing upon quiet, formerly pleasant, suburban communities. Just as with busing, President Nixon decries what his own appointees are doing, but he lets them go right on doing it.

Taxation and the direct seizure of property are not the only ways in which the metrocrats attack private property. Thirteen-Thirteen literature boasts of plans to use practices common in Urban Renewal and Model Cities programs to place complete control of all land in the United States in the hands of Metro. Now, whenever land is even temporarily held by Metro Authority, land-use controls are applied by covenants which pass with the land. Forever after, that land is subject to the control of the Metro Planners. Robert C. Weaver, former Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (H.U.D.), was quite frank about it, declaring:

*Regional government means absolute Federal control over all property and its development regardless of location, anywhere in the United States, to be administered on the Federal officials' determination. It [regional government] would supercede state and local laws...
77rough this authority we seek to recapture control of the use of land, most of which the government has already given to the people.*

Land control is people control. Already Model Cities programs have forced communities to integrate their schools under preposterously racist schemes; to establish sensitivity training for community leaders, teachers, social workers, and the police; and to accept federal guidelines concerning health, education, employment, recreation, and housing. The Metro Planners also have an abiding interest in the police. Not only do they promote sensitivity training for the local constabulary, they often require the establishment of the highly discredited "civilian review boards." Even before H.U.D. became involved, Thirteen-Thirteen pushed for consolidation of local police departments and sheriff's offices into metropolitan police forces under a political appointee responsible to a Metro manager. A manual published by the International City Managers Association of 1313 East Sixtieth Street, Chicago, states:

The Police function should be administered through a regular city department headed by a police chief directly responsible to the chief administrator of the city [manager] Appointment of the police chief should be made by the chief administrator of the city ... rather than by a separate board, commission, or the city council.

*Even when federal laws do not specifically tie federal grants to the creation of regional bodies, in practice the bureaucrats who say yea or nay to funding the projects require the establishment of a regional governing group or give the impression that such a group is a prerequisite for receiving the federal funds.

One should keep in mind that among the federal bureaus that will have offices in each of Richard Nixon's ten regional districts is the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (L.E.A.A.). Only those who are still moist behind their hearing apparatus will doubt that L.E.A.A., working through the federal sub-capitals, is laboring to produce regional police as a step toward a federal police force. When they start recruiting in one region for duty in another region, or begin the transfer of police from one region to another, you will know that Fedcop is here. Loss of jurisdiction and control over our local police is a certain step toward Orwell's 1984.

But this is only part of what is involved when one recalls that in addition to Executive Order 11647 of February 10, 1972, and the Revenue Sharing Act that has given the federal government dictatorial power in setting guidelines for our local communities, we also face Executive Order 11490 of October 30, 1969, "Assigning Emergency Preparedness Functions to Federal Departments." This Order, discussed at length in Alan Stang's article beginning on page one, empowers Regional Council members, under the color of law, to control all food supply, money and credit, transportation, communications, public utilities, hospitals, and other essential facets of human existence. *That* is what regional government really means!

America has genuine urban problems. But regionalization can hardly be cited as a solution so long as communities can voluntarily contract with each other to work together in their solution. Such things as fire, police, or trash collection services, for instance, can be shared by contract. Pollution problems can be solved by state legislatures and the courts - so that if someone is pouring sewage in your drinking water, you can settle the matter in court. Curing pollution hardly requires the abolition of our Constitutional Republic. But the metrocrats are not interested in these genuine solutions, they are after power. **They are working to carry out what the Ford Foundation's Rowan Gaither described as the plan to merge the United States with the Soviet Union.**

Certainly Richard Nixon is carrying out part of this program by making the United States dependent on Soviet natural resources. Does it not seem odd that our government will not allow a pipeline to be built across Alaska to allow the development of that state's huge petroleum resources under the excuse that it will upset the ecology of snow bunnies and polar bears, while at the same time we prepare to import natural gas from the Soviet Union? Does it not seem odd that the Rockefellers' Chase Manhattan Bank is opening a branch in beautiful downtown Moscow, even as the Soviets are preparing to sell bonds in America?

The Metro conspiracy made great advances with the aid of Presidents Eisenhower, Kennedy, and Johnson, but its triumph awaited the Administration of Richard Nixon. Mr. Nixon was the first to "bite the bullet" and create the ten federal regions as part of his "New Federalism" . . . a takeover which he describes as part of a "New American Revolution." It might more accurately be described as a "counter revolution" to that of 1776 which freed us from the arbitrary rule of "swarms of officers."

As I write, President Nixon is in the process of creating a Cabinet post of Community Development, the boss of which will act as a commissar ruling over his ten regional soviets and using the \$30 billion in "revenue sharing" funds as both a carrot and a stick to implement Metro rule. And Richard Nixon means business. Washington columnist Richard Wilson informs us that the "new

federalism ... is an obsession with him." Ironically, Mr. Nixon's collectivist obsession is being sold to the public as decentralization. The President has proclaimed:

I realize that what I am asking is that not only the executive branch in Washington, but even this Congress will have to change by giving up some of its power.

Nixon is taking power from the Executive Department in Washington by creating ten branches of the Executive Department throughout the country. *Sacrebleu!*

Under the title "Domestic Kissingers To Have Vast Powers," columnists Evans and Novak reveal what Mr. Nixon is really up to:

Many details await final Presidential determination, but the intent of the drastic reorganization has now become inescapably clear: To devise lines of power and authority which will centralize all decision-making in the White House to about the same extent that Henry Kissinger now controls every aspect of foreign policy.

In blueprint form is a proposal to create four or five new Kissingertype master bureaucrats, working directly under the President. They would exercise fully as much control over their old-line departments as Kissinger now exercises over the State Department through the National Security Council (NSCJ).

What this means is that Mr. Nixon intends to take direct control of the sprawling and often immovable bureaucracy into his own hands, operating through his new master bureaucrats.

Our country is being changed into a Big Brother dictatorship with Newspeak as the official language. The first thing you know, the "domestic Kissingers" will be trying to put a federal television set in every home to spy on us. And if you don't believe it, read Alan Stang's article called *Big Brother* in America

OPINION for January 1973.

AMERICAN OPINION

New:

<http://www.ti.org/Libertymetro.html>



MUNICIPAL COURT OF ATLANTA COURT ADMINISTRATOR

STARTING SALARY RANGE: \$\$102,783.26 - \$160,598.84
Salary Grade: SM-4

Applications Accepted From: Monday, October 18, 2010 until Vacancy is filled

THE POSITION

This executive leadership position is responsible for the overall management, strategic planning and fiscal responsibility of the criminal and traffic functions for the Municipal Court of Atlanta with an emphasis on therapeutic justice. The incumbent coordinates, organizes and supervises the management of the various case processing activities of the court. Oversees record management, including computer operations, record retention, record-keeping and reporting of court minutes. Supervises the financial aspects of court operations, including the collection, receipt, and accounting for fees, fines, bonds, and escrow funds. Develops a preliminary court budget, forecasts revenues and expenditures, and participates in budget hearings. Interprets and executes statutory mandates, rules of court, and judicial system policies as they relate to administrative matters. Formulates and implements policy with respect to the operation of court administration. Develops or modifies procedures to ensure compliance and supervises the implementation. Analyzes and prepares court security plans. Conducts continuing research and evaluation of court programs and operations, including the development and application of improved methods of administration for the purposes of increasing efficiency and effectiveness. Resolves complaints on court-related matters from the public, law enforcement, attorneys and others.

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

The competencies for this classification must be gained through a Bachelor's degree from an accredited institution with a major in Business, Public or Court Administration, or other related fields of study. Six (6) years of extensive court-related supervisory, management and administrative experience in a multi-judge Court. Master's degree and/or Institute for Court Management (ICM) Fellow status preferred. Several years experience working with current court technology and case management systems preferred. Any combination of education and experience that provides the requisite competencies for this job will be considered at the discretion of the Court.

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS: Applicants for this position must successfully complete a drug screen and background checks.

THE CITY OF ATLANTA EMPLOYMENT OFFICE WILL BE ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS ONLINE AT THE WEBSITE LISTED BELOW. ONLY THOSE APPLICANTS DEEMED MOST QUALIFIED WILL BE CONTACTED.

Resumes will not be accepted in lieu of application
Applications accepted online at www.atlantaga.gov
City of Atlanta
Department of Human Resources
City Hall Tower
68 Mitchell Street, SW, Suite 2107
Atlanta, Georgia 30335-0306
Phone (404) 330-6369 FAX: (404) 658-6157

People v. Stanley

COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS

Court of Appeals No.: 04CA2164
Adams County District Court Nos. 03CR2956 & 03CR2971
Honorable Joseph R. Quinn, Judge

The People of the State of Colorado,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

Richard Eugene Stanley, a/k/a Rick Eugene Stanley, Jr.,

Defendant-Appellant.

JUDGMENT AFFIRMED

Division V

Opinion by: JUDGE J. JONES

Carparelli, J., concurs

Vogt, J., specially concurs

Announced: April 5, 2007

John W. Suthers, Attorney General, Matthew D. Grove, Assistant Attorney General, Denver, Colorado, for Plaintiff-Appellee

Haddon, Morgan, Mueller, Jordan, Mackey & Foreman, P.C., Norman R. Mueller, Rachel A. Bellis, Denver, Colorado, for Defendant-Appellant

Defendant, Richard Eugene Stanley, appeals his convictions for two counts of attempting to influence a public official, contending, among other things, that the statute he was convicted of violating, § 18-8-306, C.R.S. 2006, was applied to him in violation of his right to freedom of speech under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. We disagree with defendant's constitutional argument, and affirm the judgment of conviction.

I. Background

On September 7, 2002, defendant was charged with violating City of Thornton Municipal Code § 38-237 by carrying a dangerous weapon – namely, a loaded .357 magnum handgun. Thornton Municipal Judge Charles Rose presided at defendant's trial. Defendant, representing himself, argued that § 38-237 is unconstitutional in that it infringed on his rights under the Colorado Constitution to defend himself and to bear arms. Judge Rose found defendant guilty after a bench trial, and sentenced defendant to serve ninety days in jail and to pay a \$500 fine.

Defendant appealed his conviction to the Adams County District Court, again arguing that § 38-237 is unconstitutional.

Judge Donald W. Marshall, Jr. affirmed defendant's conviction.

Defendant did not further appeal this conviction or sentence.

Defendant failed to appear on the date ordered to begin serving his sentence. Instead, defendant's secretary, at defendant's direction, hand-delivered a document to the City of Thornton Municipal Court labeled, "Notice and Order." The "Notice and Order" stated:

This NOTICE to Judge Charles Rose is in regard to Stanley's gun charge and arrest of openly carrying a weapon, in violation of Thornton Ordinance TRMC38-237. This ordinance violates Colorado Constitution Art. 2, Sec.3, by interfering with his natural, essential, and inalienable right to self defense, under the color of law, for the arrest, charge, and conviction against Rick Stanley. The signing of SB-25 on March 18, 2003, affirms Article 2, Sec. 3, of the State Constitution, and pre-empts this ordinance. Rick Stanley demands that Judge Charles Rose, overturn this conviction of Stanley on constitutional grounds. Failure to do so will result in a treason charge against Charles Rose for failure to uphold the oath of office to defend the Constitutions, which this Court has a copy of, and Charles Rose swore to, as a "condition" of his office. This treason charge, will result in a Mutual Defense Pact Militia warrant for Charles Rose's arrest if the following conditions are not met:

1. Overturn the unconstitutional conviction of Rick Stanley for violation of TRMC 38-237 because TRMC 38-237 violates the constitutional rights of Rick Stanley, under the guise of "color of law."
2. Return the \$1,500.00 bond to Rick Stanley.
3. Return Rick Stanley's property which consists of 1 each Smith and Wesson 6 shot .357 pistol and 6 each .357 bullets.

This court is notified, once more, as Stanley gave Notice from the beginning of the proceeding against him, Thornton Municipal Court has "NO" jurisdiction over him in this matter.

Accordingly, this ORDER is affirmed.

Rick Stanley

On the following day, defendant's secretary, again at defendant's direction, mailed a similar "Notice and Order" to Judge Marshall at the Adams County District Court and to the Adams County District Court.

Defendant was charged, in separate cases, with two counts of attempting to influence a public servant in violation of § 18-8-306, a class four felony. The two cases were subsequently consolidated.

Acting pursuant to § 18-1-1001, C.R.S. 2006, the court issued protection orders against defendant for the benefit of Judge Rose and Judge Marshall, both of which defendant refused to accept. In addition, both Judge Rose and Judge Marshall were placed under police and SWAT team protection.

Prior to trial, defendant moved to dismiss the charges on the ground § 18-8-306 is unconstitutionally overbroad as applied in this case because the "Notices" constituted speech protected by the First Amendment. Following a hearing, the court denied that motion.

Senior Judge Joseph R. Quinn, a former Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court, presided at defendant's trial, at which defendant was represented by counsel. A jury found defendant guilty of both charges. Judge Quinn sentenced defendant to six years imprisonment in the Department of Corrections (three years for each offense, to run consecutively), plus three years of mandatory parole. Judge Quinn also assessed a total of \$10,000 in fines (\$5,000 for each offense), and ordered defendant to pay \$8,249.64 in restitution.

II. Discussion

A. Section 18-8-306 is not Unconstitutionally Overbroad as Applied to Defendant's Statements

Defendant argues that his convictions must be reversed because the statements contained in the two "Notices" were protected by the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. Specifically, defendant argues that § 18-8-306 is unconstitutionally overbroad as applied in this case because his statements did not constitute "true threats." While we agree that the threats of violence prohibited by § 18-8-306 are limited to "true threats," as that term is properly understood in the First Amendment context, we disagree with defendant's contention that the People did not prove that his statements constituted true threats. Accordingly, we conclude that § 18-8-306 was not unconstitutionally applied to defendant.

1. Section 18-8-306

Section 18-8-306 provides:

Any person who attempts to influence any public servant by means of deceit or by threat of violence or economic reprisal against any person or property, with the intent thereby to alter or affect the public servant's decision, vote, opinion, or action concerning any matter

which is to be considered or performed by him or the agency or body of which he is a member, commits a class 4 felony.

“The critical elements of this offense are (1) an attempt to influence a public servant (2) by means of deceit or by threat of violence or economic reprisal (3) with the intent to alter or affect the public servant’s decision or action.” People v. Norman, 703 P.2d 1261, 1269 (Colo. 1985); accord People v. Janousek, 871 P.2d 1189, 1194 (Colo. 1994); People v. Schupper, 140 P.3d 293, 298 (Colo. App. 2006).

2. The “True Threat” Requirement

While the First Amendment protects the right to free speech, its protection is not absolute. Virginia v. Black, 538 U.S. 343, 358, 123 S.Ct. 1536, 1547, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003). Some categories of speech are unprotected by the First Amendment, and the government may permissibly regulate speech that falls within these categories. Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 358, 123 S.Ct. at 1547; People v. Hickman, 988 P.2d 628, 638 (Colo. 1999). One such category is “true threats.” Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 359, 123 S.Ct. at 1547-48; Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire, 315 U.S. 568, 571-72, 62 S.Ct. 766, 769, 86 L.Ed. 1031 (1942) (identifying several types of

statements that have “never been thought to raise any Constitutional problem”); see also R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, 505 U.S. 377, 388, 112 S.Ct. 2538, 2546, 120 L.Ed.2d 305 (1992); Watts v. United States, 394 U.S. 705, 707, 89 S.Ct. 1399, 1401, 22 L.Ed.2d 664 (1969); Janousek, supra, 871 P.2d at 1193 (person “has no constitutionally protected right to make threats of violence to a public servant”).

The “true threat” requirement is generally regarded as having its origin in the Supreme Court’s decision in Watts, supra. The defendant in that case was convicted of violating 18 U.S.C. § 871, which proscribes making a threat against the President. The conviction was based on the defendant’s statement at a political rally against the Vietnam War that “[i]f they ever make me carry a rifle the first man I want to get in my sights is L.B.J.” Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 706, 89 S.Ct. at 1401. While the Court held that the statute was constitutional on its face, it also held that it must be interpreted to distinguish between “true threats,” which may be proscribed, and “political hyperbole,” which may not be. Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 707-08, 89 S.Ct. at 1401. Because the Court determined that the statement, considered in context, could not be

interpreted as other than political hyperbole, it reversed the defendant's conviction. Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 708, 89 S.Ct. at 1402.

Since Watts, the Supreme Court has not definitively articulated the meaning of "true threats." In Black, supra, however, the Supreme Court said: "True threats' encompass those statements where the speaker means to communicate a serious expression of an intent to commit an act of unlawful violence to a particular individual or group of individuals." Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 359, 123 S.Ct. at 1548; see also Janousek, supra, 871 P.2d at 1198 (Mullarkey, J., specially concurring) ("A 'true threat' is a serious threat, as opposed to mere political argument, talk or jest . . .").

Any statute that criminalizes threats must, of course, be applied and interpreted consistently with the First Amendment. Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 707, 89 S.Ct. at 1401; Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 639-41. Accordingly, we agree with the parties that § 18-8-306 must be interpreted to limit criminal culpability to statements constituting "true threats." See Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 708, 89 S.Ct. at 1401; Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 639-41;

State v. Johnston, 127 P.3d 707, 711-12 (Wash. 2006). Indeed, in Janousek, supra, our supreme court appears to have interpreted § 18-8-306 as so limited.

We turn, then, to defendant's principal contention: namely, that the Supreme Court in Black altered the true threat analysis so as to require proof that the maker of the threat subjectively intended to threaten, and that his conviction cannot stand because there was no evidence that he subjectively intended to threaten Judge Rose or Judge Marshall.

3. Intent

Defendant testified in the trial court that he sent the "Notices" with the intent of influencing Judge Rose and Judge Marshall to reverse his conviction for violating Thornton Municipal Code § 38-237. Thus, the record reveals that defendant possessed the specific intent required by the plain language of § 18-8-306 ("with the intent . . . to alter or affect the public servant's decision"), and defendant does not contend otherwise on appeal.

Defendant nevertheless argues that the First Amendment, as applied by the Supreme Court in Black, requires an additional showing of specific intent to secure a conviction under § 18-8-306;

specifically, that the speaker must have subjectively intended to make a threat of unlawful violence. We are not persuaded.

Defendant's argument presents a question of law which we review de novo. Lewis v. Colo. Rockies Baseball Club, Ltd., 941 P.2d 266, 270-71 (Colo. 1997); Holliday v. Reg'l Transp. Dist., 43 P.3d 676, 681 (Colo. App. 2001).

Section 18-8-306 has been construed as criminalizing certain threats as viewed from an objective, reasonable person's perspective. See Janousek, *supra*, 871 P.2d at 1198 (Mullarkey, J., specially concurring) (threat evaluated by "whether those who hear or read the threat reasonably consider that an actual threat has been made"); People v. McIntier, 134 P.3d 467, 472 (Colo. App. 2005)(same). After Watts, and prior to Black, courts construing other criminal statutes proscribing certain types of threats almost uniformly applied an objective standard (from either the speaker's or the recipient's perspective) to determine whether a statement was a true threat. See, e.g., People v. Baer, 973 P.2d 1225, 1231, 1233 (Colo. 1999) (threat cognizable under § 18-9-111, criminalizing harassment by stalking, assessed under "an objective 'reasonable person' standard"); United States v. Fulmer, 108 F.3d 1486, 1490-

91 (1st Cir. 1997); United States v. Aman, 31 F.3d 550, 553-56 (7th Cir. 1994); United States v. Malik, 16 F.3d 45, 49 (2d Cir. 1994); United States v. Kosma, 951 F.2d 549, 556-57 (3d Cir. 1991); United States v. Orozco-Santillan, 903 F.2d 1262, 1265 (9th Cir. 1990). But see United States v. Patillo, 438 F.2d 13, 15 (4th Cir. 1971) (en banc) (in prosecution for threatening the President, the prosecution must prove a present intent to do injury if the threat is communicated to someone other than the President). See generally Note, "True Threats" and the Issue of Intent, 92 Va. L. Rev. 1225 (2006). Indeed, even prior to Watts, courts typically interpreted statutes proscribing threats as requiring proof that a reasonable person would perceive the statement as a threat. See, e.g., Ragansky v. United States, 253 F. 643, 644-45 (7th Cir. 1918).

In Black, the Supreme Court examined three defendants' convictions under a Virginia statute which made it unlawful for a person "with the intent of intimidating any person or group of persons, to burn, or cause to be burned, a cross on the property of another, a highway or other public place." Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 348, 123 S.Ct. at 1541. The Court concluded that the statute was not constitutionally overbroad, but was unconstitutional

insofar as it provided that “[a]ny such burning of a cross shall be prima facie evidence of an intent to intimidate,” because the presumption would permit conviction for cross burnings that merely constituted political speech. In addressing the overbreadth question, the Court briefly discussed the concept of true threats:

“True threats” encompass those statements where the speaker means to communicate a serious expression of an intent to commit an act of unlawful violence to a particular individual or group of individuals. . . . The speaker need not actually intend to carry out the threat. Rather, a prohibition on true threats “protect[s] individuals from the fear of violence” and “from the disruption that fear engenders,” in addition to protecting people “from the possibility that the threatened violence will occur.”

Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 359-60, 123 S.Ct. at 1548 (citations omitted)(quoting in part R.A.V., supra, 505 U.S. at 388, 112 S.Ct. at 2546). The Court then proceeded to define intimidation, the object proscribed by the statute at issue, as follows: “Intimidation in the constitutionally proscribable sense of the word is a type of true threat, where a speaker directs a threat to a person or group of persons with the intent of placing the victim in fear of bodily harm or death.” Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 360, 123 S.Ct. at 1548.

Since Black was decided, only a few courts have directly addressed the argument that Black requires proof of the speaker's subjective intent to threaten. Compare United States v. D'Amario, 461 F. Supp. 2d 298, 301 (D.N.J. 2006) (no such proof required); New York ex rel. Spitzer v. Cain, 418 F. Supp. 2d 457, 478-79 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (same); United States v. Bly, (W.D. Va. No. CRIM. 3:04CR00011, Oct. 14, 2005) (same); United States v. Ellis, (E.D. Pa. No. CR.02-687-1, July 15, 2003) (same); and People v. Pilette, (Mich. Ct. App. No. 266395, Nov. 21, 2006) (same), with United States v. Cassel, 408 F.3d 622, 631-33 (9th Cir. 2005) (such proof is required under 18 U.S.C. § 1860, which prohibits intimidating a person not to bid on or buy federal land). See also United States v. Hankins, 195 Fed. Appx. 295 (6th Cir. 2006) (not selected for publication) (citing Black as support for the objective test); United States v. Romo, 413 F.3d 1044, 1051 & n.6 (9th Cir. 2005) (applying objective standard to statute proscribing threats against the President, and interpreting the holding in Cassel as inapplicable to such threats), cert. denied, ___ U.S. ___, 126 S.Ct. 1638, 164 L.Ed.2d 348 (2006); Porter v. Ascension Parish School Bd., 393 F.3d 608, 616 & nn.25-27 (5th Cir. 2004) (continuing to apply

objective test while acknowledging Black's definition of true threats), cert. denied, 544 U.S. 1062, 125 S.Ct. 2530, 161 L.Ed.2d 1112 (2005); United States v. Carmichael, 326 F. Supp. 2d 1267, 1280 (M.D. Ala. 2004) (acknowledging the decision in Black, but concluding that "[t]he Supreme Court has not settled on a definition of a 'true threat'"); Citizen Publ'g Co. v. Miller, 115 P.3d 107, 114-15 (Ariz. 2005) (treating the "reasonable person" test applied in earlier cases as "substantially similar" to the test articulated in Black); State v. DeLoreto, 827 A.2d 671, 680 (Conn. 2003) (acknowledging Black's formulation of a true threat and applying objective test).

We are persuaded by the reasoning of the cases rejecting the subjective speaker standard.

Fundamentally, the reason threats of violence are not protected by the First Amendment is because they serve none of the purposes of the First Amendment: they do not express ideas or opinions, and are not part of the marketplace of ideas in which there is dialogue. Shackelford v. Shirley, 948 F.2d 935, 938 (5th Cir. 1991); United States v. Velasquez, 772 F.2d 1348, 1356-57 (7th Cir. 1985) (cited with approval in Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 638 n.7). The First Amendment does not protect a statement that a

reasonable speaker or a reasonable recipient would perceive as a threat of harm (as opposed to mere political hyperbole) on the basis that, notwithstanding the content of the statement, the speaker may not have intended the recipient to perceive a threat. Such a threat of violence is no more an idea, opinion, or part of a dialogue in the marketplace of ideas than if it had been made with such intent. The threat remains, in either event, devoid of content protected by the First Amendment.

Moreover, prohibitions against threats of violence serve the legitimate purpose of protecting the recipient of the statement “from the fear of violence, from the disruption that fear engenders, and from the possibility that the threatened violence will occur.” R.A.V., supra, 505 U.S. at 388, 112 S.Ct. at 2546; see also Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 360, 123 S.Ct. at 1548; Cain, supra, 418 F. Supp. 2d at 479; Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 638.

This purpose is not served by hinging constitutionality on the speaker’s subjective intent or capacity to do (or not to do) harm. Rather, these factors go to how reasonably foreseeable it is to a speaker that the listener will seriously take his communication as an intent to inflict bodily harm.

Planned Parenthood of Columbia/Willamette, Inc. v. Am. Coalition of Life Activists, 290 F.3d 1058, 1076 (9th Cir. 2002) (en banc); see also Kosma, supra, 951 F.2d at 556-57; D’Amario, supra, 461 F. Supp. 2d at 301; Cain, supra, 418 F. Supp. 2d at 479 (“A standard for [true] threats that focused on the speaker’s subjective intent to the exclusion of the effect of the statement on the listener would be dangerously underinclusive with respect to the first two rationales for the exemption of threats from protected speech.”).

Contrary to defendant’s contention, Black does not hold that subjective intent to threaten must be proved. Defendant relies on two statements in Black. The first is the formulation of a true threat quoted above: “True threats’ encompass those statements where the speaker means to communicate a serious expression of an intent to commit an act of unlawful violence to a particular individual or group of individuals.” Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 359, 123 S.Ct. at 1548. This formulation requires an intent “to communicate a serious expression of” a threat, not the specific intent that the language be perceived by others as threatening. Cain, supra, 418 F. Supp. 2d at 479; People v. Pilette, supra; see

also Fogel v. Grass Valley Police Dep't, 415 F. Supp. 2d 1084, 1087 (E.D. Cal. 2006).

The second statement in Black on which defendant relies is the Court's definition of "intimidation" quoted above: "Intimidation in the constitutionally proscribable sense of the word is a type of true threat, where a speaker directs a threat to a person or group of persons with the intent of placing the victim in fear of bodily harm or death." Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 360, 123 S.Ct. at 1548. This statement, however, must be read in context of the Court's statement just three sentences earlier characterizing the relevant intent as an intent to communicate. Further, we do not read this statement as precluding a determination of the speaker's intent based on an objective standard – that is, how a reasonable speaker would anticipate the statement would be perceived by those to whom it was communicated. Finally, the statement merely defines "intimidation," the type of true threat proscribed by the statute at issue in Black. We do not read Black as requiring a similar definition for all types of true threats. Here, § 18-8-306 proscribes not intimidation, but attempting to influence a public servant by means of deceit, threat of violence, or economic reprisal.

We acknowledge that reasonable persons can read Black differently. However, given that courts have, prior to Black, defined “true threat” in numerous contexts according to an objective standard, and have done so virtually unanimously, we think it highly unlikely the Supreme Court in Black intended to effectively overrule that vast body of precedent sub silentio.

In sum, we reject defendant’s argument that the First Amendment required the People to prove that he subjectively intended to threaten Judge Rose and Judge Marshall.

4. No Privilege for Making Threats in Judicial Proceedings

Defendant also contends his statements are privileged – that is, he is immune from prosecution for the statements – because they were made “in pleadings filed by a pro se litigant attempting to forcefully, albeit belligerently, make his point.” Again, we disagree.

This contention also presents a question of law subject to de novo review. See NBC Subsidiary (KCNC-TV), Inc. v. Living Will Center, 879 P.2d 6, 11 (Colo. 1994) (whether defamatory language is constitutionally privileged is a question of law subject to de novo review).

True threats, “however communicated,” are not protected by the First Amendment. Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 638 (quoting Madsen v. Women’s Health Center, Inc., 512 U.S. 753, 773, 114 S.Ct. 2516, 2529, 129 L.Ed.2d 593 (1994)). Such threats are not entitled to heightened constitutional protection simply because they are made in the context of a pleading, or in any other public forum, as opposed to in a private setting, because, as noted, they do not further any of the purposes of the First Amendment. See Shackelford, supra, 948 F.2d at 938; Velasquez, supra, 772 F.2d at 1356-57.

The cases cited by defendant in support of this argument are inapposite. All of them concerned immunity from defamation liability. None involved prosecution for true threats. See McDonald v. Lakewood Country Club, 170 Colo. 355, 364, 461 P.2d 437, 442 (1969); Renner v. Chilton, 142 Colo. 454, 455-56, 351 P.2d 277, 277 (1960); Club Valencia Homeowners Ass’n, Inc. v. Valencia Assocs., 712 P.2d 1024, 1027 (Colo. App. 1985). Defendant cites no case, and we have not found one, holding that a criminal defendant has a First Amendment privilege to threaten violence against a judge if he does so in the context of a court proceeding.

5. Sufficiency of the Evidence

Defendant also contends that the statements in his “Notices,” when viewed in context, were not “true threats.” We disagree.

Essentially, this contention is a challenge to the sufficiency of the evidence. “When the sufficiency of the evidence is challenged on appeal, the reviewing court must determine whether any rational trier of fact might accept the evidence, taken as a whole and in the light most favorable to the prosecution, as sufficient to support a finding of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.” McIntier, supra, 134 P.3d at 471.

While the question whether a statement is a “true threat,” as opposed to protected speech, is, in the first instance, one of fact to be determined by the fact finder, see McIntier, supra, 134 P.3d at 474; Johnston, supra, 127 P.3d at 712, where First Amendment concerns are implicated, we have an obligation to make an independent review of the record as a whole in order to assure that the judgment does not impermissibly intrude on the field of free expression. See Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union, Inc., 466 U.S. 485, 499, 104 S.Ct. 1949, 1958, 80 L.Ed.2d 502 (1984); Lewis,

supra, 941 P.2d at 270-71; DeLoreto, supra, 827 A.2d at 679; Johnston, supra, 127 P.3d at 712.

In determining whether a statement constitutes a true threat, we first consider the plain import of the words used. See Janousek, supra, 871 P.2d at 1193, 1195 (noting the forceful language of the statement and the explicit and implicit threat expressed thereby); McIntier, supra, 134 P.3d at 472. We must also consider the context in which the statement was made. McIntier, supra, 134 P.3d at 472; see Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 367, 123 S.Ct. at 1551; Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 708, 89 S.Ct. at 1402; Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 639; Janousek, supra, 871 P.2d at 1198 (Mullarkey, J., specially concurring). Some of the contextual factors that may be considered include (1) to whom the statement is communicated; (2) the manner in which the statement is communicated; and (3) the subjective reaction of the person whom the statement concerns. See R.A.V., supra, 505 U.S. at 388, 112 S.Ct. at 2546; Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 708, 89 S.Ct. at 1402; Cain, supra, 418 F. Supp. 2d at 475; Janousek, supra, 871 P.2d at 1194-95; McIntier, supra, 134 P.3d at 472; Citizen Publ'g Co., supra, 115 P.3d at 115.

We conclude that a reasonable juror could find, based on the evidence submitted, and consistent with the First Amendment, that the Notices contained true threats, whether viewed from the perspective of a reasonable speaker or a reasonable recipient.

Contrary to defendant's contention, the language in the Notices is not merely "a prediction of political theater" or "metaphorical." See Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 706-08, 89 S.Ct. at 1401-02 (threats of violence that are merely "political hyperbole" are protected speech); Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 639 (same). Rather, the Notices expressly threatened the judges with "arrest" by a "Mutual Defense Pact Militia," an act in which the prospect of physical violence is inherent. Cf. Malik, supra, 16 F.3d at 49-50 (threat of armed robbery unless judges reversed decisions was, together with other statements, a true threat). They also threaten a "charge" of treason, an offense punishable by death. Cf. United States v. Schiefen, 139 F.3d 638 (8th Cir. 1998) (upholding conviction for threatening a federal judge where letter defendant mailed to judge stated that the punishment for treason is death); United States v. Daughenbaugh, 49 F.3d 171, 173-74 (5th Cir. 1995) (letters to judges threatening treason charges and death

sufficient to support convictions for mailing threatening statements); see also 18 U.S.C. § 2381 (treason is punishable by death).

The Notice to Judge Rose was filed in the case in which Judge Rose had presided, and the Notice to Judge Marshall was mailed to the judge. Thus, the threats were conveyed directly to the persons threatened; defendant did not merely communicate them to a stranger to his court proceedings. See McIntier, supra, 134 P.3d at 472.

Moreover, both judges felt threatened by the Notices. Judge Rose testified that he was afraid for his life as a result of defendant's "Notice." Judge Marshall testified that he was alarmed by the "Notice" and perceived the document as a direct and immediate threat to his personal safety. The subjective reactions of the persons to whom the Notices were directed support (but do not compel) the conclusion that statements therein were true threats. United States v. Alaboud, 347 F.3d 1293, 1298 (11th Cir. 2003); Fulmer, supra, 108 F.3d at 1499-500.

We reject defendant's contention that his statements necessarily constituted mere "criticism" of the judges. Defendant

cites In re Green, 11 P.3d 1078 (Colo. 2000), for the proposition that criticism of judges is protected speech. In re Green is inapposite, however. A merely critical remark and a threat of violence (if a true threat) are substantially different in a constitutionally meaningful way. A statement of critical opinion about a judge is protected (assuming it does not imply a false statement of fact) because it serves the principal purpose of the First Amendment – to safeguard public discussion of governmental affairs. In re Green, *supra*, 11 P.3d at 1084-85. A threat of violence against a judge, on the other hand, is not an expression of an idea or opinion, or part of a public dialogue in the marketplace of ideas. The statements at issue here threaten violence.

In sum, we conclude that the evidence is sufficient to support defendant's convictions.

B. The Jury Instructions Were Not Erroneous

Defendant contends that the jury instructions setting forth the elements of the offense and defining a threat were constitutionally deficient because they (1) incorrectly stated that a "threat of violence" is not protected by the First Amendment; (2) failed to include the "new" element of the speaker's subjective intent to

threaten; and (3) established a conclusive presumption that the statements in the Notices were not true threats. We disagree with all three contentions.

1. Instructions Nos. 10 and 11

Instruction No. 10 stated:

The elements of the crime of Attempt to Influence a Public Servant are:

1. That the defendant,
2. in the State of Colorado, at or about the date and place charged,
3. with intent to alter or affect the public servant's decision, vote, opinion or action,
4. concerning any matter which is to be considered or performed by the public servant,
5. knowingly,
 - a. attempts to influence the public servant,
 - b. by means of deceit, or by threat of violence.

After considering all the evidence, if you decide the prosecution has proven each of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant guilty of Attempt to Influence a Public Servant.

After considering all the evidence, if you decide the prosecution has failed to prove any one or more of the elements beyond a reasonable doubt, you should find the defendant not guilty of Attempt to Influence a Public Servant.

Instruction No. 11 defined "threat" as follows:

"Threat" means a statement or statements that are likely to induce a belief in a reasonable person that the stated act or actions of unlawful violence will be carried out. The speaker or author need not intend to carry out the threat. A threat of violence is not protected under the free speech provisions of the Colorado or Federal Constitutions, nor under the constitutional provisions regarding the right to petition the government for redress of grievances. Words used as mere political argument, or as idle talk or in jest, even if made in a very crude or offensive manner, do not constitute a threat.

2. Standard of Review

We agree with the parties that because defendant failed to object to these particular jury instructions at trial, defendant's contention of error with respect thereto is reviewable only for plain error. Crim. P. 30, 52(b); People v. Miller, 113 P.3d 743, 749, 751 (Colo.), cert. denied, ___ U.S. ___, 126 S.Ct. 663, 163 L.Ed.2d 536

(2005); People v. Grant, ___ P.3d ___, ___ (Colo. App. No. 03CA1034, Jan. 25, 2007).

Plain error is obvious and substantial error that “so undermined the fundamental fairness of the trial itself . . . as to cast serious doubt on the reliability of the judgment of conviction.” Miller, supra, 113 P.3d at 750 (quoting People v. Sepulveda, 65 P.3d 1002, 1006 (Colo. 2003)). “As applied to jury instructions, the defendant must ‘demonstrate not only that the instruction affected a substantial right, but also that the record reveals a reasonable possibility that the error contributed to his conviction.’” Miller, supra, 113 P.3d at 750 (quoting in part People v. Garcia, 28 P.3d 340, 344 (Colo. 2001)).

When reviewing jury instructions for plain error, we must look at the instructions as a whole. Miller, supra, 113 P.3d at 751; Grant, supra, ___ P.3d at ___.

“The trial court has the duty to instruct the jury properly on all matters of law, and the failure to do so with respect to the essential elements of the offense constitutes plain error.” People v. Freeman, 668 P.2d 1371, 1382 (Colo. 1983); see also People v. Montoya, 141 P.3d 916, 920 (Colo. App. 2006). At the same time,

however, a trial court's "failure to instruct the jury properly does not constitute plain error if the relevant instruction, read in conjunction with other instructions, adequately informs the jury of the law." Miller, supra, 113 P.3d at 750. "Moreover, an erroneous jury instruction does not normally constitute plain error where the issue is not contested at trial or where the record contains overwhelming evidence of the defendant's guilt." Miller, supra, 113 P.3d at 750.

3. Threat of Violence

Defendant argues that Instruction No. 11 incorrectly stated that a threat of violence is not protected by the First Amendment. We conclude that the instruction, considered in its entirety, is not erroneous.

In some circumstances, a threat of violence may be protected by the First Amendment. See Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 706-08, 89 S.Ct. at 1401-02; Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 639. That protection exists where the threat of violence is, viewed in context, nothing more than "political hyperbole." Watts, supra, 394 U.S. at 706-08, 89 S.Ct. at 1401-02; Hickman, supra, 988 P.2d at 639; see also Janousek, supra, 871 P.2d at 1198 (Mullarkey, J., specially

concurring) (threats that are “mere political argument, talk or jest” are protected).

Here, while Instruction No. 11 stated that threats of violence are not protected free speech, it also stated in the next sentence that words “used as mere political argument, or as idle talk or in jest” are not threats. Thus, Instruction No. 11 properly distinguished between threats of violence that are true threats and those that are not.

4. Speaker’s Intent to Threaten

Defendant contends the instructions should have included the “new” element of the offense that the speaker subjectively intended to threaten. We have concluded, however, that the objective standard applies to determine whether a statement is a true threat. Thus, the trial court did not err in failing to instruct the jury that the People were required to prove defendant’s subjective intent to threaten.

5. Conclusive Presumption

Defendant argues that because the trial court failed to properly define “threat” in the jury instructions, the instructions compelled the jury to conclusively presume that he intended his

statements to threaten. However, this argument also presupposes, erroneously, that the People were required to prove such intent. Further, we do not perceive any conclusive presumption suggested by the instructions.

Conclusive presumptions “relieve[] the prosecution of its burden of persuasion by removing the presumed element from the case entirely when the prosecution proves the predicate fact on which the presumption is based.” Jolly v. People, 742 P.2d 891, 896 (Colo. 1987). Conclusive presumptions violate the due process rights of defendants because they “can reasonably be interpreted by the factfinder as a mandate to find the presumed element of the crime upon proof of the predicate fact, and thus clash[] directly with the presumption of innocence and the constitutional requirement of prosecutorial proof beyond a reasonable doubt.” Jolly, supra, 742 P.2d at 897; see also People v. Felgar, 58 P.3d 1122, 1124 (Colo. App. 2002). “The critical consideration in determining the validity of [the instruction] is whether a reasonable jury could have understood the instruction as relieving the state of its burden of persuasion on an essential element of the crime.” Jolly, supra, 742

P.2d at 898. The focus of this analysis “must be on the specific language of the instruction itself.” Jolly, supra, 742 P.2d at 898.

Here, the jury instruction defining “threat” – Instruction No. 11 – did not mandate or compel the jury to conclude that the Notices contained “true threats.” See Jolly, supra, 742 P.2d at 898 (jury instruction established a conclusive presumption where it used language such as “shall”). Rather, it distinguished between such threats and those that are merely political argument, idle talk, or made in jest. Moreover, Instruction No. 10 specifically told the jurors that they could find defendant guilty only if they found that the People proved all elements of the offense beyond a reasonable doubt. Thus, we conclude that a reasonable juror could not have interpreted Instructions Nos. 10 and 11 as relieving the prosecution of its burden of proof as to the element of a threat. See Montoya, supra, 141 P.3d at 920-21; cf. People v. Bostic, 148 P.3d 250, 258-59 (Colo. App. 2006) (instruction that told jurors where illegal drugs were found did not relieve prosecution of its burden of proof).

C. Judge’s Oath of Office

Defendant contends that his convictions are void for lack of jurisdiction because Judge Quinn did not preside over his trial

under a valid oath of office and was therefore unauthorized to serve as a judge. We disagree.

The People have filed a motion with this court asking us to take judicial notice that Judge Quinn executed a valid oath of office as a senior judge on January 13, 2003 (one and one-half years before defendant's trial), or, in the alternative, to supplement the record to include Judge Quinn's written oath of office and two-year contract of employment as a senior judge, both of which were signed in January 2003. Defendant filed a response opposing the People's motion. The People's motion was deferred to this division. We grant the motion to take judicial notice.

Colorado Rule of Evidence 201 allows a court, "at any stage of the proceeding," to take judicial notice of adjudicative facts, so long as those facts are "not subject to reasonable dispute" and are "either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned." CRE 201(a), (b), (f); see also Prestige Homes, Inc. v. Legouffe, 658 P.2d 850, 853 (Colo. 1983) (appellate court may take judicial notice of facts under CRE 201(f)).

The dates of a public official's term of office are adjudicative facts within the meaning of this rule. See Larsen v. Archdiocese of Denver, 631 P.2d 1163, 1164 (Colo. App. 1981) (court may take judicial notice of term of public office); cf. Lovato v. Johnson, 617 P.2d 1203, 1204 (Colo. 1980) (court properly took judicial notice that Utah judge was a "magistrate" under Utah law); People ex rel. Flanders v. Neary, 113 Colo. 12, 16, 154 P.2d 48, 50 (1944) (supreme court took judicial notice of district attorney's term of office).

Further, Judge Quinn's written oath of office and contract of employment for January 2003 through January 2005 are public records of an administrative agency, specifically the Office of the State Court Administrator. We may take judicial notice of such records. See Disabled Rights Action Committee v. Las Vegas Events, Inc., 375 F.3d 861, 866 n.1 (9th Cir. 2004) (court took judicial notice of state agency's contract with private entity); cf. Vento v. Colo. Nat'l Bank, 985 P.2d 48, 52 (Colo. App. 1999) (court may take judicial notice of court's records in related proceeding); see generally 1 Jack B. Weinstein & Margaret A. Berger, Weinstein's Federal Evidence § 201.12[13] (2d ed. 2006).

The oath of office and contract Judge Quinn executed show that he was authorized to serve as a senior judge throughout the course of defendant's trial court proceedings. Thus, Judge Quinn was authorized to preside over defendant's trial, and defendant's convictions are not void for lack of jurisdiction. Cf. People v. Jachnik, 116 P.3d 1276, 1277-78 (Colo. App. 2005) (county court judge lacked jurisdiction to preside over trial in district court where People failed to identify any order authorizing such action).

Defendant nevertheless argues that the trial court lacked jurisdiction and his convictions are void because, even if Judge Quinn presided under a current and valid oath of office, he failed to file his oath of office with the secretary of state. We disagree.

District court judges are required by art. XII, § 9 of the Colorado Constitution to "file their oaths of office with the secretary of state." See also Chief Justice Directive 85-25. Though the People argue that this requirement did not apply to Judge Quinn because he was a "senior" judge rather than a "district court" judge, we will assume that the requirement was applicable to Judge Quinn.

However, even assuming such a filing requirement, when a public officer signs a valid oath of office but misses the deadline for filing the oath with the secretary of state, the officer still possesses the authority to carry out his or her duties as a de facto officer. People v. Scott, 116 P.3d 1231, 1232-33 (Colo. App. 2004); see also Nguyen v. United States, 539 U.S. 69, 77-78, 123 S.Ct. 2130, 2135-36, 156 L.Ed.2d 64 (2003) (when a legal deficiency in a judge's appointment is merely technical, a properly appointed judge still acts under valid de facto authority); Relative Value Studies, Inc. v. McGraw-Hill Cos., 981 P.2d 687, 688 (Colo. App. 1999) ("a properly appointed judge, despite even a conceded violation of [a] constitutional . . . requirement, does not lose his or her authority to act as judge merely because of the violation"). Thus, any failure to file Judge Quinn's oath of office with the secretary of state did not deprive the court of jurisdiction.

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, defendant's judgment of conviction is affirmed.

JUDGE CARPARELLI concurs.

JUDGE VOGT specially concurs.

JUDGE VOGT specially concurring.

I agree with the majority that the judgment of conviction should be affirmed, but I reach that conclusion for reasons other than those relied on by the majority.

In my view, Virginia v. Black, 538 U.S. 343, 123 S.Ct. 1536, 155 L.Ed.2d 535 (2003), requires a showing that defendant subjectively intended to make a threat in order for his conviction to pass constitutional muster. Under Black as I read it, speech may not be constitutionally punished simply because a reasonable person would understand it as a threat, if the speaker did not mean for the speech to be so understood.

I reach this conclusion based on the Black Court's statement that "true threats" encompass "those statements where the speaker means to communicate a serious expression of an intent to commit an act of unlawful violence to a particular individual or group of individuals," and on its statement that "[i]ntimidation in the constitutionally proscribable sense of the word is a type of true threat, where a speaker directs a threat to a person or group of persons with the intent of placing the victim in fear of bodily harm or death." Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 359-60, 123 S.Ct. at 1548.

Although the majority suggests that the Court's statement regarding "intimidation" is inapplicable to this case, I believe that the acts of which defendant was convicted may fairly be characterized as intimidation, and I note that, at oral argument here, the People did not disagree with that proposition.

Further, the holding in Black supports the conclusion that the inquiry is subjective rather than objective. It is difficult to conceive of a situation in which an objectively reasonable viewer would not perceive cross burning as a threat; yet the Court struck down, as facially unconstitutional, a statute providing that burning a cross on the property of another, or on public property, was prima facie evidence of intent to intimidate.

I also disagree with the majority's analysis to the extent it suggests that most post-Black decisions have continued to apply an objective standard to determine whether speech constitutes a true threat. As the majority correctly points out, since Black was decided, only a few courts have directly considered whether Black requires proof of the speaker's subjective intent to threaten. One case cited by the majority, United States v. Cassel, 408 F.3d 622 (9th Cir. 2005), holds that Black requires such subjective intent;

see also United States v. Magleby, 420 F.3d 1136, 1139 (10th Cir. 2005)(recognizing that Black requires “an intent to threaten,” but holding that defendant’s claim that jury instructions erroneously failed to include such requirement was procedurally barred). Of the five cases that the majority cites as standing for the proposition that subjective intent is not required, only two are published decisions. In the first, United States v. D’Amario, 461 F. Supp. 2d 298 (D.N.J. 2006), the issue was whether the prosecution had to prove that the defendant actually intended to carry out his threat. The court correctly recognized that, under Black, no such intent was required; however, that is not the issue presented here. The other published case cited by the majority, New York ex rel. Spitzer v. Cain, 418 F. Supp. 2d 457 (S.D.N.Y. 2006), disagreed with the defendants’ subjective intent argument, but ultimately concluded that the argument was “irrelevant” because: “Testimony offered at the hearing suffices to establish that defendants’ statements were threats under the proposed standard. . . . Under any standard, objective or subjective, . . . the defendants’ statements were true threats.” Cain, supra, 418 F. Supp. 2d at 479-80. Thus, I do not view either D’Amario or Cain as persuasive authority for adhering to

an objective standard.

Although I disagree with the majority's conclusion that, notwithstanding Black, speech may be punished without a showing that the speaker subjectively intended to make a threat, I do not believe it is necessary to decide the issue in this case. I am persuaded that the evidence here was sufficient to establish that defendant subjectively intended to threaten the judges, and that his conviction was therefore not unconstitutional.

The jury found beyond a reasonable doubt that defendant acted with the intent to alter or affect the judges' decisions, and its finding was supported by defendant's trial testimony conceding that he sent the notices to the judges with the intent to influence them to "change their mind." This finding alone potentially satisfies the subjective intent requirement of Black. After explaining that requirement, the Black Court went on to conclude that "Virginia's statute does not run afoul of the First Amendment insofar as it bans cross burning with intent to intimidate," and that "[a] ban on cross burning carried out with the intent to intimidate is . . . proscribable under the First Amendment." Black, supra, 538 U.S. at 363, 123 S.Ct. at 1549-50.

That a finding of specific intent may obviate First Amendment concerns about “true threats” was recognized in United States v. Stewart, 420 F.3d 1007 (9th Cir. 2005). After discussing the “tension” between Black and its earlier holdings applying an objective “true threat” definition, the Stewart court concluded that it need not decide whether the objective or subjective definition should apply because the evidence established that the defendant’s statement was a true threat under either definition. In so concluding, the court observed that the statute under which the defendant was convicted contained a specific intent element: it punished only threats against officials made “with the intent to impede, intimidate, interfere with, or retaliate against such officials” on account of their performance of their duties. Thus, the court reasoned,

[A] conviction under that statute could only be had upon proof that the speaker intended the speech to impede, intimidate, interfere with, or retaliate against the protected official. Such proof would seem to subsume the subjective “true threat” definition announced in Black . . . ; one cannot have the intent required under [the statute] without also intending to make the threat.

Stewart, supra, 420 F.3d at 1017. Similarly here, it is at least

arguable that the specific intent requirement of § 18-8-306 subsumes the subjective “true threat” intent addressed in Black.

However, even if it does not, there was ample additional evidence presented at trial that defendant subjectively intended his notices to the judges to be threats. As the majority points out, the notices expressly threatened the judges with arrest by a militia; they referenced a charge of treason, an offense punishable by death; and they were conveyed directly to the judges, as opposed to being mere “political hyperbole” intended for public consumption. Additionally, defendant testified that he wanted to convey to the judges that, if they did not return his gun and bullets and overturn his conviction, they would be arrested.

He also testified regarding a “preliminary militia alert” that he had sent out to members of his militia. The alert, which was admitted into evidence, is replete with references to the weapons defendant and the militia would use if defendant were to find himself in a standoff with the government (“As long as I am alive and have ammo, and yes I have lots of ammo, I will hold them at bay. . . . Once you take up with force of arms and deploy as an individual or a unit, you are in the game. . . . I will be well armed.

. . . I hope all of you will come prepared with force of arms”). Although the “preliminary militia alert” was sent out before defendant sent his notices to the judges and would not itself rise to the level of a true threat, it was relevant to the determination of defendant’s subjective intent in sending out the notices.

The evidence thus establishes that, even assuming subjective intent is required, defendant’s notices were “true threats,” and, as such, were not protected by the First Amendment. In light of the evidence, I further conclude that the deficiencies in the jury instructions of which defendant complains did not rise to the level of plain error. For these reasons, and because I concur in the majority’s resolution of defendant’s additional contentions, I agree that the judgment of conviction should be affirmed.