



ORIGINAL

Furthermore, to prevent unnecessary harm to the public or law enforcement; The following rulings need to be enforced. Fortunately for all parties involved in my unlawful arrest, I am a peaceful inhabitant and did not claim the right to defend myself. A future encounter with one of the public that is not concerned about their own safety and claim this right could prove to be ^{harmful} ~~harmful~~ indeed:

"An illegal arrest is an assault and battery. The person so attempted to be restrained of his liberty has the same right to use force in defending himself as he would in repelling any other assault and battery..." -State v. Robinson

"Each person has the right to resist an unlawful arrest. In such a case, the person attempting the arrest stands in the position of a wrongdoer and may be resisted by the use of force, as in self-defense..." -State v. Mobley

"One may come to the aid of another being unlawfully arrested, just as he may where one is being assaulted, molested, raped or kidnapped. Thus it is not an offense to liberate one from the unlawful custody of an officer, even though he may have submitted to such custody, without resistance..." -Adams v. State

"These principles apply as well to an officer attempting to make an arrest, who abuses his authority and transcends the bounds thereof by the use of unnecessary force and violence, as they do to a private individual who unlawfully uses such force and violence..." -Jones v. State

"Officers of the court have no immunity, when violating a Constitutional right, from liability. For they are deemed to know the law..." -Owen v. Independence

The preceding is provided to as a reminder to those public officials who have an Oath of Office. Maxim of law, "Ignorance of the law excuses no one," makes it clear that everyone, the public and the public officials are presumed to know the law. This is clearly not the case with the BENTON COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE. The officers tasked with enforcing your statutes under color of law are either committing treason by not honoring their oaths, or are ignorant of the law. Either way, this is unacceptable as it puts the public and the officers in a dangerous position, blatantly violates the unalienable rights of the public who so know and claim said rights and can prove costly to the county when a member of the public, such as myself, stand up for their rights and file a lawsuit against all parties involved.

The above being said, this letter is to serve NOTICE of my intent to file a lawsuit for numerous infringements of my unalienable rights which are supposed to be protected by the Constitution. In honor of the Maxims of law; "There ought to be an end of law suits.", "It concerns the commonwealth that there be an end of law suits." and "It is for the public good that there be an end of litigation.", I will give your corporation one opportunity to offer a counter settlement in response to the following:

Within 10 days of receipt of this NOTICE, the following are my demands:

- 1) All charges are to be dismissed and all record of this event is to be deleted from the official record.
- 2) As remedy for unlawful arrest, I demand a check in the amount of \$260,880 made out to the name of fiction, PHILLIP MICHAEL SANDERS be mailed to my address on file. This amount is set by damage case award "Terzevant v. City of Tampa 741 F.2d 366, nn. 5". This precedent awarded \$25,000 for 23 minutes of unlawful detainment. \$25,000 divided by 23 equals \$1087 for every minute detained. I was detained/incarcerated for 4 hours. 240 minutes multiplied by \$1087 equals \$260,880.
- 3) Either; A code is to be placed in my file so my unalienable rights are not to be violated ever again by any Oregon law enforcement officer/agency. /or/ I am to be granted DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY and issued id and plates stating such.

I fully expect every public official to honor their Oath of Honor in this matter. Should this not occur, I will file a lawsuit against all parties involved and will not accept settlement offers. I DO NOT CONSENT TO THE VIOLATION OF ANY OF MY UNALIENABLE RIGHTS, PERIOD. I do not recognize any statutes (under color of law) that contradict God's Law, Natural Law, Common Law, the Constitution, Maxims of Law or Supreme Court Decisions. I will not willingly participate in the fraudulent duality of laws. Any future violations of any of my unalienable rights will be met with lawsuits backed up with a mountain of case law.

Continued...

non est lex sed servitus ad tenere quibus non consenseris

Phillip Michael of the Sanders family

drive, city of [redacted] county of Benton, state of Oregon, Without Prejudice